

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

Commission file number 1-3285

3M COMPANY

State of Incorporation: **Delaware**

I.R.S. Employer Identification No. **41-0417775**

Principal executive offices: **3M Center, St. Paul, Minnesota 55144**

Telephone number: **(651) 733-1110**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to the filing requirements for at least the past 90 days. Yes . No .

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes . No .

Shares of common stock outstanding at June 30, 2006: 753,234,766.

This document (excluding exhibits) contains 42 pages.
The table of contents is set forth on page 2.
The exhibit index begins on page 40.

**3M COMPANY
FORM 10-Q
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2006
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
Index to Financial Statements:	
<u>Consolidated Statement of Income</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Note 1. Basis of Presentation</u>	6
<u>Note 2. Acquisitions and Divestitures</u>	12
<u>Note 3. Goodwill and Intangible Assets</u>	12
<u>Note 4. Supplemental Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income Information</u>	14
<u>Note 5. Income Taxes</u>	15
<u>Note 6. Derivatives and Other Financial Instruments</u>	15
<u>Note 7. Marketable Securities</u>	16
<u>Note 8. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans</u>	17
<u>Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies</u>	18
<u>Note 10. Business Segments</u>	21
<u>Note 11. Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	22
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	23
<u>ITEM 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
Index to Management's Discussion and Analysis:	
<u>Overview</u>	24

	Results of Operations	26
	Performance by Business Segment	29
	Financial Condition and Liquidity	34
	Forward-Looking Statements	37
ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	37
ITEM 4.	Controls and Procedures	37
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	Legal Proceedings	38
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	38
ITEM 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	38
ITEM 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	39
ITEM 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	39
ITEM 5.	Other Information	39
ITEM 6.	Exhibits	40
	Index to Exhibits	40

3M COMPANY
FORM 10-Q
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2006
PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Consolidated Statement of Income
(Unaudited)

3M Company and Subsidiaries

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net sales	\$ 5,688	\$ 5,294	\$ 11,283	\$ 10,460
Operating expenses				
Cost of sales	2,840	2,602	5,561	5,151
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,322	1,130	2,505	2,274
Research, development and related expenses	351	318	673	638
Total	4,513	4,050	8,739	8,063
Operating income	1,175	1,244	2,544	2,397
Interest expense and income				
Interest expense	25	19	47	39
Interest income	(14)	(16)	(22)	(32)
Total	11	3	25	7
Income before income taxes and minority interest	1,164	1,241	2,519	2,390
Provision for income taxes	272	475	715	838
Minority interest	10	12	23	27
Net income	\$ 882	\$ 754	\$ 1,781	\$ 1,525
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic	755.1	768.0	754.7	769.8
Earnings per share – basic	\$ 1.17	\$ 0.98	\$ 2.36	\$ 1.98
Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted	770.4	785.0	769.5	788.2
Earnings per share – diluted	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.31	\$ 1.94
Cash dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.84

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

**Consolidated Balance Sheet
(Unaudited)**

3M Company and Subsidiaries

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	June 30 2006	Dec. 31 2005
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 987	\$ 1,072
Marketable securities - current	259	—
Accounts receivable – net	3,171	2,838
Inventories		
Finished goods	1,212	1,050
Work in process	797	706
Raw materials and supplies	548	406
Total inventories	2,557	2,162
Other current assets	1,127	1,043
Total current assets	8,101	7,115
Marketable securities - non-current	63	—
Investments	280	272
Property, plant and equipment	16,420	16,127
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(10,777)	(10,534)
Property, plant and equipment – net	5,643	5,593
Goodwill	3,572	3,473
Intangible assets – net	501	486
Prepaid pension and postretirement benefits	2,809	2,905
Other assets	1,064	964
Total assets	\$ 22,033	\$ 20,808
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities		
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 1,458	\$ 1,072
Accounts payable	1,343	1,256
Accrued payroll	489	469
Accrued income taxes	741	989
Other current liabilities	1,395	1,452
Total current liabilities	5,426	5,238
Long-term debt	1,253	1,309
Other liabilities	3,832	3,866
Total liabilities	\$ 10,511	\$ 10,413
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)		
Stockholders' equity		
Common stock par value, \$.01 par value, 944,033,056 shares issued	9	9
Additional paid-in capital	2,373	2,225
Retained earnings	16,591	15,715
Treasury stock, at cost; 190,798,290 shares at June 30, 2006; 189,494,669 shares at Dec. 31, 2005	(7,102)	(6,965)
Unearned compensation	(162)	(178)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(187)	(411)
Stockholders' equity – net	11,522	10,395
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 22,033	\$ 20,808

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

**Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)**

3M Company and Subsidiaries

(Dollars in millions)	Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 1,781	\$ 1,525
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	479	479
Company pension and postretirement contributions	(98)	(144)
Company pension and postretirement expense	198	227
Deferred income tax provision	(68)	(70)
Changes in assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(270)	(291)
Inventories	(352)	(193)
Accounts payable	71	83

Accrued income taxes	(243)	420
Product and other insurance receivables and claims	8	(12)
Other – net	(88)	101
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>1,418</u>	<u>2,125</u>
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment (PP&E)	(451)	(452)
Proceeds from sale of PP&E and other assets	25	28
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(88)	—
Purchases of investments	(2,072)	(955)
Proceeds from sale of investments	1,747	896
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(839)</u>	<u>(483)</u>
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Change in short-term debt – net	489	(580)
Repayment of debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	(148)	(480)
Proceeds from debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	—	69
Purchases of treasury stock	(778)	(1,185)
Reissuances of treasury stock	375	287
Dividends paid to stockholders	(695)	(647)
Distributions to minority interests	(10)	(45)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	31	46
Other – net	(15)	9
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(751)</u>	<u>(2,526)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	87	(108)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(85)	(992)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,072	2,757
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 987</u>	<u>\$ 1,765</u>

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 1. Basis of Presentation

The interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. These adjustments consist of normal, recurring items. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The interim consolidated financial statements and notes are presented as permitted by the requirements for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes included in its 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. However, as described in 3M's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, during the first quarter of 2006, the Company effected certain business segment realignments (refer to Note 10) and adopted an accounting standard that requires 3M to expense stock-based compensation. The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004) "Share Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123R") effective January 1, 2006 and elected to use the modified retrospective method to restate all prior periods to give effect to the fair-value based method of accounting for stock options awards granted in fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 1995 (refer to the "Stock-based Compensation" section that follows for further discussion). The Company began reporting comparative results under the new business segment structure, including the impact of the adoption of the stock-based compensation accounting standard, with the filing of its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006. In the third quarter of 2006, the Company plans to update its 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K via a Form 8-K to reflect these impacts.

As described in 3M's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, in the fourth quarter of 2005, costs of internally developed patents were reclassified for all periods presented to "Research, development and related expenses" from "Selling, general and administrative expenses". Costs of internally developed patents include costs and fees incurred to prepare, file, secure and maintain patents.

Significant Accounting Policies

Earnings per share: The difference in the weighted average shares outstanding for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share is attributable to the dilution associated with the Company's stock-based compensation plans. Certain Management Stock Ownership Program (MSOP) options outstanding were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because they would not have had a dilutive effect (30.2 million average options for the three months ended June 30, 2006; 27.4 million average options for the six months ended June 30, 2006; 13.2 million average options for the three months ended June 30, 2005; 6.7 million average options for the six months ended June 30, 2005). The conditions for conversion related to the Company's "Convertible Notes" were not met (refer to 3M's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, for more detail); accordingly, there was no impact on 3M's diluted earnings per share. If the conditions for conversion are met, 3M may choose to pay in cash and/or common stock; however, if this occurs, the Company has the intent and ability to settle this debt security in cash. Refer to the "New Accounting Pronouncements" section that follows for discussion of EITF Issue No. 04-08, "The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share" and proposed SFAS No. 128R, "Earnings per Share—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 128", which has not yet been finalized by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share follow:

Earnings Per Share Computations

(Amounts in millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Numerator:				
Net income	\$ 882	\$ 754	\$ 1,781	\$ 1,525
Denominator:				
Denominator for weighted average common shares outstanding – basic	755.1	768.0	754.7	769.8
Dilution associated with the Company’s stock-based compensation plans	15.3	17.0	14.8	18.4
Denominator for weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted	770.4	785.0	769.5	788.2
Earnings per share – basic	\$ 1.17	\$ 0.98	\$ 2.36	\$ 1.98
Earnings per share – diluted	1.15	0.96	2.31	1.94

Stock-based Compensation

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004). SFAS No. 123R supersedes APB Opinion No. 25. Under APB Opinion No. 25, no compensation expense is recognized for employee stock option grants if the exercise price of the Company’s stock option grants is at or above the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. SFAS No. 123R requires the determination of the fair value of the share-based compensation at the grant date and the recognition of the related expense over the period in which the share-based compensation vests. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified retrospective method. All prior periods have been restated to give effect to the fair-value-based method of accounting for awards granted in fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 1995. The Company believes that the modified retrospective application of this standard achieves the highest level of clarity and comparability among the presented periods. On November 10, 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards (the FSP). The FSP provides that companies may elect to use a specified “short-cut” method to calculate the historical pool of windfall tax benefits upon adoption of SFAS No. 123R. The Company elected to use the “short-cut” method when SFAS No. 123R was adopted by the Company January 1, 2006.

The Company issues MSOP stock options to eligible employees annually in May using the average stock price on the grant date, which is the date of the Annual Stockholders’ Meeting. In May 2005, shareholders approved 36.75 million shares for issuance under the MSOP in the form of management stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. Under the plan, the Company has principally issued stock options to managers that are granted at market value on the date of grant. Prior to 2005, under previous plans, these options were generally exercisable one year after the date of grant, with expiration 10 years from the date of grant. Effective with the May 2005 annual grant, the Company changed its vesting period from one to three years with the expiration date remaining at 10 years from date of grant. Outstanding shares under option include grants from previous plans. There were approximately 14,700 participants in the plan as of December 31, 2005. In addition to grants to managers, the Company makes other minor stock option grants to employees, for which vesting terms and option lives are not substantially different, and also makes minor grants of restricted stock units and other stock-based grants.

7

The impact of stock-based compensation on net income and earnings per share provided below for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005, were recognized over the nominal vesting period, whereby if an employee retired before the end of the vesting period, the Company would recognize any remaining unrecognized compensation cost at the date of retirement. SFAS No. 123R requires recognition under a non-substantive vesting period approach, requiring compensation expense recognition when an employee is eligible to retire. 3M employees in the U.S. are eligible to retire beginning at age 55 and after having completed five years of service. Approximately 25% of the number of stock-based compensation awards are made to this population. The Company changed to the non-substantive vesting period approach for new stock compensation grants made after the Company’s adoption of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. The increase in stock option expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period last year is primarily the result of this change to a non-substantive vesting approach. Capitalized stock-based compensation amounts were not material at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. The diluted earnings per share impact presented below for 2005 and prior is computed as the difference between restated historical per share amounts (which reflect the impact of SFAS No. 123R on both net income and diluted shares) compared to the historically reported diluted earnings per share. Amounts recognized in the financial statements with respect to these plans are as follows:

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30		Year ended December 31		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	2005	2004	2003
Cost of sales	\$ 21	\$ 8	\$ 23	\$ 20	\$ 27	\$ 44	\$ 37
Selling, general and administrative expenses	54	23	73	68	96	156	129
Research, development and related expenses	18	8	22	22	32	52	43
Operating Income	\$ 93	\$ 39	\$ 118	\$ 110	\$ 155	\$ 252	\$ 209
Income tax benefits	\$ 37	\$ 17	\$ 45	\$ 50	\$ 67	\$ 103	\$ 92
Net Income	\$ 56	\$ 22	\$ 73	\$ 60	\$ 88	\$ 149	\$ 117
Earnings per share impact– diluted	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.14)
Earnings per share – diluted	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.31	\$ 1.94	\$ 3.98	\$ 3.56	\$ 2.88

The following table adjusts the revised diluted earnings per share from the preceding table to reflect the approximate impact of using the non-substantive vesting period approach for grants made prior to January 1, 2006.

Stock-Based Compensation

Pro Forma Earnings Per Share – Diluted	Three months ended June 30, 2005		Six months ended June 30, 2005		2005	2004	2003
Earnings per share - diluted	\$	0.96	\$	1.94	\$ 3.98	\$ 3.56	\$ 2.88
Impact of retirement-eligible	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.03)	\$ (0.02)	—	—
Pro forma (adjusted to reflect non-substantive vesting period approach)	\$	0.92	\$	1.91	\$ 3.96	\$ 3.56	\$ 2.88

The following table details the modified retrospective application impact of SFAS No. 123R on previously reported results:

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Restated	As previously reported
For the three months ended June 30, 2005		
Operating Income	\$ 1,244	\$ 1,283
Income before income taxes and minority interest	1,241	1,280
Net Income	754	776
Earnings per share of common stock:		
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.98	\$ 1.01
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.96	\$ 1.00
For the six months ended June 30, 2005		
Operating Income	\$ 2,397	\$ 2,507
Income before income taxes and minority interest	2,390	2,500
Net Income	1,525	1,585
Earnings per share of common stock:		
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 1.98	\$ 2.06
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 1.94	\$ 2.02
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,125	2,171
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,526)	(2,572)
As of December 31, 2005		
Long-term deferred tax asset	\$ 405	\$ 110
Total Assets	20,808	20,513
Additional paid-in capital	2,225	287
Retained Earnings	15,715	17,358
Total stockholders' equity	10,395	10,100
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 20,808	\$ 20,513

For annual and progressive (reload) options, the weighted average fair value at date of grant was calculated utilizing the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the assumptions that follow. Effective with the May 2005 grant, the Company no longer issues progressive (reload) options; however, as pre-May 2005 progressive (reload) options are reloaded, the option is revalued and additional stock compensation expense is incurred.

MSOP Assumptions

	Annual				Progressive (Reload)			
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2006	2005	2004	2003
Exercise price	\$ 87.30	\$ 76.87	\$ 84.39	\$ 61.91	\$ 80.98	\$ 81.19	\$ 83.10	\$ 66.86
Risk-free interest rate	5.0%	4.0%	4.1%	2.6%	4.4%	3.7%	2.7%	1.8%
Dividend yield (Div. growth rate - 2003)	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%	2.2%
Volatility	20.0%	23.5%	23.8%	23.8%	20.7%	20.9%	21.6%	23.7%
Expected life (months)	69	69	73	66	39	40	39	32
Black-Scholes fair value	\$ 19.83	\$ 18.28	\$ 20.30	\$ 12.75	\$ 13.43	\$ 13.18	\$ 12.42	\$ 9.44

In connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, in 2005 the Company reviewed and updated, among other things, its volatility and expected term assumptions. Expected volatility is a statistical measure of the amount by which a stock price is expected to fluctuate during a period. For the 2006 and 2005 annual grant date, the Company estimated the expected volatility based upon the average of the most recent one year volatility, the median of the term of the expected life rolling volatility, the median of the most recent term of the expected life volatility of 3M stock, and the implied volatility on grant date. The expected term assumption is based on the weighted average of historical grants and assuming that options outstanding are exercised at the midpoint of the future remaining term.

The following table summarizes MSOP activity during the six months ended June 30, 2006:

MSOP

	Number of Options	Exercise Price*	Remaining Contractual Life* (months)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (millions)
Under option –				
January 1	80,157,713	\$ 62.40		
Granted				
Annual	11,261,793	87.30		
Progressive (Reload)	506,303	80.98		
Exercised	(6,917,230)	46.25		
Canceled	(269,168)	61.83		
June 30	84,739,411	\$ 67.14	75	\$ 1,304
Options exercisable June 30	65,531,582	\$ 62.46	64	\$ 1,271

Shares available for grant June 30

13,124,838

*Weighted average

MSOP

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Shares	Remaining Contractual Life (months)*	Exercise Price*	Shares	Exercise Price*
\$43.35-64.50	48,484,061	54	\$ 55.47	48,484,061	\$ 55.47
64.65-89.15	36,255,350	103	82.76	17,047,521	82.34

*Weighted average

10

The Company does not have a specific policy to repurchase common shares to mitigate the dilutive impact of options; however, the Company has historically made adequate discretionary purchases, based on cash availability, market trends and other factors, to satisfy stock option exercise activity.

As of June 30, 2006, there was \$284 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested stock based awards. This expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years. The total intrinsic values of stock options exercised during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, was \$246 million and \$202 million, respectively. Cash received from options exercised was \$319 million and \$232 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The actual tax benefits realized by the Company for employee stock options was \$69 million and \$58 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In May 1997, shareholders approved 30 million shares for issuance under the Company's General Employees' Stock Purchase Plan (GESPP). Substantially all employees are eligible to participate in the plan. Participants are granted options at 85% of market value at the date of grant. There are no GESPP shares under option at the beginning or end of each year because options are granted on the first business day and exercised on the last business day of the same month.

GESPP

	Six months ended June 30			
	2006		2005	
	Shares	Exercise Price*	Shares	Exercise Price*
Options granted	821,320	\$ 66.49	791,221	\$ 69.33
Options exercised	(821,320)	66.49	(791,221)	69.33
Shares available for grant – June 30	11,283,219		12,959,839	

*Weighted average

The weighted average fair value per option granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$11.73 and \$12.23, respectively. The fair value of GESPP options was based on the 15% purchase price discount. The Company recognized compensation expense for GESPP options of \$10 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2004, the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force finalized EITF Issue No. 04-08, "The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share" that would require the dilutive effect of shares from contingently convertible debt to be included in the diluted earnings per share calculation regardless of whether the contingency has been met. The Company has \$616 million in aggregate face amount of 30-year zero coupon senior notes that are convertible into approximately 5.8 million shares of common stock if certain conditions are met. These conditions have never been met (refer to 3M's Annual Report on Form 10-K, Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, for more detail). In September 2005, the FASB revised its December 2003 Exposure Draft SFAS No. 128R, "Earnings per Share – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 128", which has not yet been finalized by the FASB. The proposed SFAS No. 128R further addresses contingently convertible debt and several other issues. Unless the Company takes steps to modify certain terms of this debt security, EITF Issue No. 04-08 and proposed SFAS No. 128R (when effective) would result in an increase of approximately 5.8 million shares to diluted shares outstanding to give effect to the contingent issuance of shares. Also, using the if-converted method, net income for the diluted earnings per share calculations would be adjusted for interest expense associated with this debt instrument. EITF Issue No. 04-08 would have been effective beginning with the Company's 2004 fourth quarter. However, due to the FASB's delay in issuing SFAS No. 128R and the Company's intent and ability to settle this debt security in cash versus the issuance of stock, the impact of the additional diluted shares will not be included in the diluted earnings per share calculation until the proposed SFAS No. 128R is effective. When SFAS No. 128R is effective, prior periods' diluted shares outstanding and diluted earnings per share amounts will be restated to present comparable information. The estimated annual reduction in the Company's diluted earnings per share would have been approximately \$.02 to \$.03 per share in each year for 2005, 2004 and 2003. Because the impact of this standard is ongoing, the Company's diluted shares outstanding and diluted earnings per share amounts would be impacted until retirement or modification of certain terms of this debt security.

11

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140". SFAS No. 155 permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation. SFAS No. 155 also establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation. This standard is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006 (January 1, 2007 for 3M). The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard, but would not expect SFAS No. 155 to have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" (FIN 48). FIN 48

clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides related guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. FIN 48 is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard.

NOTE 2. Acquisitions and Divestitures

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, 3M entered into five business combinations for a total purchase price of \$88 million, net of cash acquired.

1) In February 2006, 3M (Consumer and Office Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding common shares of Interchemall Dom., a provider of household cleaning products based in Poland.

2) In March 2006, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased certain assets of General Industrial Diamond Company Inc., a U.S. operation. The acquired company is a manufacturer of superabrasive grinding wheels, dressing tools and machines used to dimension and finish hard to grind materials in the industrial and commercial markets.

3) In April 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of OMNII Oral Pharmaceuticals, a provider of differentiated preventive dental products, solutions and support for dental professionals.

4) In April 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased certain assets of ClozeX Medical LLC, a provider of unique skin closure devices to treat lacerations and close surgical incisions. The agreement gives 3M exclusive worldwide rights for the manufacturing and distribution of ClozeX Wound Closures.

5) In June 2006, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of SBG (Software und Beratung im Gesundheitswesen) GmbH, a Berlin-based developer of diagnosis related groups software for hospitals, including related trademarks and patents.

The acquisition of CUNO, Incorporated ("CUNO") was completed on August 2, 2005. The operating results of CUNO are included in the Industrial and Transportation Business segment. CUNO is a leader in the design, manufacture and marketing of a comprehensive line of filtration products for the separation, clarification and purification of fluids and gases. 3M and CUNO have complementary sets of filtration technologies and the opportunity to bring an even wider range of filtration solutions to customers around the world. There have been no material changes to the preliminary CUNO purchase price allocation for the six months ended June 30, 2006 (refer to Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information related to CUNO).

Subsequent Event

On August 1, 2006, 3M completed the acquisition of Security Printing and Systems Limited, a leading producer of finished, personalized passports and secure cards, from authentos GmbH, Germany.

NOTE 3. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

As discussed in Note 10, 3M realigned its business segments and began reporting under this new structure in the first quarter of 2006. To reflect this new structure, the December 31, 2005 goodwill balances presented below reflect a \$9 million reclassification from the Industrial and Transportation segment to the Consumer and Office segment and a \$7 million reclassification from the Health Care segment to the Industrial and Transportation segment. The business segment realignment also resulted in certain reporting unit changes for 3M. The Company applied the relative fair value method to determine the impact to reporting units. SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at least annually and when reporting units are changed. During the first quarter of 2006, the Company completed its assessment of any potential goodwill impairment under this new structure and determined that no impairment existed.

Purchased goodwill related to acquisitions in the first six months of 2006 totaled \$40 million, \$7 million of which is deductible for tax purposes. The goodwill balance by business segment as of December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2006, follow:

Goodwill

(Millions)	Dec. 31, 2005 balance	2006 acquisition activity	2006 translation and other	June 30, 2006 balance
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 1,340	\$ 7	\$ 22	\$ 1,369
Health Care	502	30	21	553
Display and Graphics	871	—	1	872
Consumer and Office	63	3	1	67
Electro and Communications	525	—	6	531
Safety, Security and Protection Services	172	—	8	180
Total Company	\$ 3,473	\$ 40	\$ 59	\$ 3,572

Acquired Intangible Assets

The carrying amount and accumulated amortization of acquired intangible assets as of June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, follow:

(Millions)	June 30 2006	Dec. 31 2005
Patents	\$ 403	\$ 378
Other amortizable intangible assets (primarily tradenames and customer related intangibles)	386	369
Non-amortizable intangible assets (tradenames)	64	60
Total gross carrying amount	\$ 853	\$ 807
Accumulated amortization – patents	(221)	(205)
Accumulated amortization – other	(131)	(116)
Total accumulated amortization	(352)	(321)
Total intangible assets – net	\$ 501	\$ 486

Amortization expense for acquired intangible assets for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 follows:

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Amortization expense	\$ 14	\$ 10	\$ 29	\$ 22

The table below shows expected amortization expense for acquired intangible assets recorded as of June 30, 2006:

(Millions)	Last 2 Quarters 2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	After 2010
	Amortization expense	\$ 26	\$ 50	\$ 47	\$ 46	\$ 46

The preceding expected amortization expense is an estimate. Actual amounts of amortization expense may differ from estimated amounts due to additional intangible asset acquisitions, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, impairment of intangible assets, accelerated amortization of intangible assets and other events.

NOTE 4. Supplemental Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income Information

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Millions)	June 30, 2006	Dec. 31, 2005
Cumulative translation – net	\$ (31)	\$ (296)
Minimum pension liability adjustments – net	(156)	(156)
Debt and equity securities, unrealized gain – net	2	3
Cash flow hedging instruments, unrealized gain (loss) – net	(2)	38
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (187)	\$ (411)

Income tax effects for cumulative translation are not significant because no tax provision has been made for the translation of foreign currency financial statements into U.S. dollars. Reclassification adjustments are made to avoid double counting in comprehensive income items that are also recorded as part of net income. Reclassification adjustments (other than for cash flow hedging instruments discussed in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements) were not material.

TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30	
	2006	2005
Net Income	\$ 882	\$ 754
Other comprehensive income (loss)		
Cumulative translation – net of \$1 million tax provision in 2006 and net of \$12 million tax provision in 2005	161	(279)
Debt and equity securities, unrealized gain (loss) - net of immaterial tax impact	—	(1)
Cash flow hedging instruments, unrealized gain (loss) – net of \$8 million tax benefit in 2006 and net of \$16 million tax provision in 2005	(18)	24
Total comprehensive income	\$ 1,025	\$ 498

TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005
Net Income	\$ 1,781	\$ 1,525
Other comprehensive income (loss)		
Cumulative translation – net of \$7 million tax provision in 2006 and net of \$20 million tax provision in 2005	265	(491)
Debt and equity securities, unrealized gain (loss) - net of immaterial tax impact	(1)	(1)
Cash flow hedging instruments, unrealized gain (loss) – net of \$22 million tax benefit in 2006 and net of \$42 million tax provision in 2005	(40)	69
Total comprehensive income	\$ 2,005	\$ 1,102

NOTE 5. Income Taxes

The Company is routinely audited by tax authorities in the countries where it conducts business and maintains a tax reserve that reflects management's estimate of the Company's potential exposure arising from such audits. To the extent the Company were to prevail in matters for which accruals have been established or be required to pay amounts in excess of reserves, the Company's tax provision in a given financial statement period may be materially impacted.

An audit of the Company's U.S. tax returns for years through 2001 was completed in the second quarter of 2006. The Company and the Internal Revenue Service reached a final settlement for these years including an agreement on the amount of a refund claim to be filed by the Company. The Company also made substantial progress towards final resolution of audits by certain European countries.

Also, in the second quarter of 2006, the Company completed a detailed reassessment of its tax reserves that it had begun earlier in the year. Considering the developments noted above and other factors, including the impact on open audit years of the recent resolution of issues in various audits, the reassessment resulted in a reduction of the

reserves by \$105 million inclusive of agreed-upon tax refund claims.

In the second quarter of 2005, the Company announced its intent to reinvest \$1.7 billion of foreign earnings in the United States pursuant to the provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. This Act provided the Company the opportunity to tax efficiently repatriate foreign earnings for U.S. qualifying investments specified in its domestic reinvestment plan. As a consequence, in the second quarter of 2005, 3M recorded a charge of \$75 million after-tax.

NOTE 6. Derivatives and Other Financial Instruments

The Company uses interest rate swaps, currency swaps, and forward and option contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate, interest rate and commodity market volatility. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company entered into a credit support agreement with one of its primary derivatives counterparties. Under this agreement either party is required to post eligible collateral when the market value of transactions covered by the agreement exceeds specified thresholds, thus limiting credit exposure for both parties.

The Company enters into foreign exchange forward contracts, options and swaps to hedge against the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash flows denominated in foreign currencies and certain intercompany financing transactions. These transactions are designated as cash flow hedges. Based on exchange rates at June 30, 2006, the Company expects to reclassify to earnings over the next 12 months a majority of the cash flow hedging instruments after-tax loss of \$2 million (with the impact largely offset by foreign currency cash flows from underlying hedged items). Amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to cash flow hedging instruments follow:

Cash Flow Hedging Instruments

Net of Tax (Millions)	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Beginning balance	\$ 16	\$ 3	\$ 38	\$ (42)
Changes in fair value of derivatives	(18)	19	(29)	54
Net (gains)/losses reclassified into earnings from equity	—	5	(11)	15
Total activity	(18)	24	(40)	69
Ending balance	\$ (2)	\$ 27	\$ (2)	\$ 27

15

NOTE 7. Marketable Securities

During 2006, the Company invested in asset-backed securities and auction rate securities. The following is a summary of amounts recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet for marketable securities (current and non-current) at June 30, 2006. The Company did not have any marketable securities balance at year-end 2005.

(Millions)	June 30, 2006
Auction rate securities	\$ 107
Asset-backed securities	115
Other	37
Current marketable securities	259
Non-current asset-backed securities	63
Total marketable securities	\$ 322

Classification of marketable securities as current or non-current is dependent upon management's intended holding period, the security's maturity date, or both. If management intends to hold the securities for longer than one year, they are classified as non-current. Unrealized gains and losses were not material in the first six months of 2006. Gross realized gains and gross realized losses on sales of marketable securities were also not material. There were no impairment losses recognized on marketable securities in the first six months of 2006. Cost of securities sold or reclassified use the specific identification method. Since these marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale securities, changes in fair value will flow through other comprehensive income, with amounts reclassified out of other comprehensive income into earnings upon sale. Other comprehensive income activity for these securities in the first six months of 2006 was not material.

The following table presents the age of gross unrealized losses and fair value by investment category for all securities in a loss position as of June 30, 2006. 3M has both the intent and ability to hold the securities for a time necessary to recover the cost basis. Unrealized losses at June 30, 2006 were not material.

SECURITIES IN LOSS POSITION

(Millions)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Auction rate securities	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Asset-backed securities	158	—	—	—	158	—
Other	36	—	—	—	36	—
Total marketable securities	\$ 194	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 194	\$ —

The balance at June 30, 2006 for marketable securities and short-term investments by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

(Millions)	June 30, 2006
Due in one year or less	\$ 75
Due after one year through three years	86

Due after three years through five years	24
Due after five years	137
Total marketable securities	<u>\$ 322</u>

NOTE 8. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

Components of net periodic benefit cost and other supplemental information for the three months and six months ended June 30 follow:

Benefit Plan Information

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30					
	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits				Postretirement Benefits	
	United States		International		2006	2005
	2006	2005	2006	2005		
Service cost	\$ 49	\$ 44	\$ 29	\$ 27	\$ 14	\$ 13
Interest cost	135	125	43	45	26	25
Expected return on plan assets	(191)	(165)	(57)	(56)	(26)	(24)
Amortization of transition (asset) obligation	—	—	1	1	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost (benefit)	3	4	(1)	(1)	(13)	(10)
Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss	51	45	15	15	21	22
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 47</u>	<u>\$ 53</u>	<u>\$ 30</u>	<u>\$ 31</u>	<u>\$ 22</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>
Settlements, curtailments and special termination benefits	—	1	—	—	—	—
Net periodic benefit cost after settlements, curtailments and special termination benefits	\$ 47	\$ 54	\$ 30	\$ 31	\$ 22	\$ 26

Benefit Plan Information

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30					
	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits				Postretirement Benefits	
	United States		International		2006	2005
	2006	2005	2006	2005		
Service cost	\$ 98	\$ 88	\$ 58	\$ 54	\$ 28	\$ 27
Interest cost	270	250	86	91	52	50
Expected return on plan assets	(382)	(330)	(114)	(112)	(52)	(47)
Amortization of transition (asset) obligation	—	—	2	2	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost (benefit)	6	7	(2)	(2)	(26)	(20)
Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss	102	90	30	30	42	43
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 94</u>	<u>\$ 105</u>	<u>\$ 60</u>	<u>\$ 63</u>	<u>\$ 44</u>	<u>\$ 53</u>
Settlements, curtailments and special termination benefits	—	6	—	—	—	—
Net periodic benefit cost after settlements, curtailments and special termination benefits	\$ 94	\$ 111	\$ 60	\$ 63	\$ 44	\$ 53

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, contributions totaling \$72 million were made to the Company's U.S. and international pension plans and \$26 million to its post-retirement plans. In 2006, the Company expects to contribute an amount in the range of \$100 million to \$400 million to its U.S. and international pension plans, and approximately \$75 million to its post-retirement plans. The Company does not have a required minimum pension contribution obligation for its U.S. plans in 2006. Therefore, the amount of the anticipated discretionary pension contribution could vary significantly depending on the U.S. plans' funding status as of the 2006 measurement date and the anticipated tax deductibility of the contribution.

NOTE 9. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings:

The Company and some of its subsidiaries are involved in numerous claims and lawsuits, principally in the United States, and regulatory proceedings worldwide. These include various products liability (involving products that the Company now or formerly manufactured and sold), intellectual property, and commercial claims and lawsuits, including those brought under the antitrust laws, and environmental proceedings. The following sections describe the significant legal proceedings in which the Company is involved and the liabilities and associated insurance receivables the Company has accrued relating to its significant legal proceedings. Unless otherwise stated, the Company is vigorously defending all such litigation. Additional information can be found in Note 11 "Commitments and Contingencies" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, including information about the Company's process for establishing and disclosing accruals and insurance receivables.

Antitrust Litigation

As previously reported, LePage's Inc., a transparent tape competitor of 3M, filed a lawsuit against the Company in June 1997 alleging that certain marketing practices of the Company constituted unlawful monopolization under the antitrust laws. Following the entry of a verdict in LePage's favor and appellate rulings sustaining that verdict, direct and indirect tape purchasers filed a number of purported class actions and individual actions against the Company in various state and federal courts. These cases allege that the Company competed unfairly and unlawfully monopolized alleged markets for transparent tape, and seek injunctive relief and damages in the form of price overcharges the Company allegedly charged for these products.

Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation— In April 2006, the federal court in California granted final approval of the previously disclosed settlement agreement of twelve tape-related class actions brought on behalf of indirect purchasers who did not purchase tape for resale. Three objectors to the settlement have filed an appeal in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation— As previously reported, in November 2005, the Company agreed to settle three of the four pending direct purchaser transparent tape antitrust cases — two individual actions and a purported class action on behalf of direct purchasers of both 3M branded and private label tape. The two individual actions have been settled and the actions have been dismissed. In March 2006, the federal court in Pennsylvania granted preliminary approval of the settlement agreement executed by the parties to the purported class action. If that agreement receives final court approval at a hearing currently scheduled for later this summer and all conditions in the agreement are satisfied, the settlement will terminate the purported class action and release the claims of the affected putative class members nationwide. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company entered into an agreement in principle to resolve the antitrust class action involving direct purchasers of branded transparent tape (but not private label tape) that as previously disclosed had been scheduled to start trial at the end of May. The settlement is conditioned on court approval, which will be sought promptly upon execution of final settlement documents and is expected to be granted later this year or early next year. If that agreement receives final court approval and all conditions in the agreement are satisfied, the settlement will terminate the class action and release the claims of the affected class members nationwide.

Breast Implant Insurance Recovery

As previously reported, the Company is engaged in legal proceedings to effectuate the previously disclosed Minnesota Supreme Court ruling in 2003 that was favorable to the Company's claim for coverage against its insurers. The Company recently reached agreements concerning the amounts due with two additional insurers and received payments under these and previously disclosed settlement agreements of approximately \$16 million during the second quarter of 2006. With these recent settlements, 21 of the 29 insurers have withdrawn from the pending proceedings and have settled the Company's claims under the Minnesota Supreme Court decision. The amounts paid under these settlements are consistent with the Company's overall expectation of recovery.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation

As of June 30, 2006, the Company is a named defendant, with multiple co-defendants, in numerous lawsuits in various courts that purport to represent approximately 33,000 individual claimants, a decrease from the approximately 56,300 individual claimants with actions pending at June 30, 2005.

As previously reported, the vast majority of the lawsuits and claims resolved by and currently pending against the Company allege use of some of the Company's mask and respirator products and seek damages from the Company and other defendants for alleged personal injury from workplace exposures to asbestos, silica, coal or other occupational dusts, found in products manufactured by other defendants or generally in the workplace. The remaining claimants generally allege personal injury from occupational exposure to asbestos from products previously

manufactured by the Company, which are often unspecified, and by other defendants, or occasionally at Company premises.

As previously reported, the State of West Virginia, through its Attorney General, filed a complaint in 2003 against the Company and two other manufacturers of respiratory protection products in the Circuit Court of Lincoln County, West Virginia. The complaint seeks substantial, but unspecified, compensatory damages primarily for reimbursement of the costs allegedly incurred by the State for worker's compensation and healthcare benefits provided to more than 20,000 current or former miners allegedly suffering from silicosis and/or coal miner's pneumoconiosis ("Black Lung disease") and unspecified punitive damages. In October 2005, the State of West Virginia filed an amended complaint seeking reimbursement of the costs allegedly incurred by the State for worker's compensation and healthcare benefits provided to all workers with occupational pneumoconiosis, not just to coal miners as the original complaint sought.

Employment Litigation

As previously reported, one current and one former employee of the Company filed a purported class action in the District Court of Ramsey County, Minnesota in December 2004, seeking to represent a class of all current and certain former salaried employees employed by 3M in Minnesota below a certain salary grade who were age 46 or older at any time during the applicable period to be determined by the Court. The complaint alleges the plaintiffs suffered various forms of employment discrimination on the basis of age in violation of the Minnesota Human Rights Act and seeks injunctive relief, unspecified compensatory (up to triple actual damages) and punitive damages in excess of \$50,000, including back and front pay and attorneys' fees. In February 2006, the Company filed its answer to an amended complaint filed by the plaintiffs in January 2006 joining four additional plaintiffs.

As previously reported, a similar age discrimination purported class action was filed against the Company in November 2005 in the Superior Court of Essex County, New Jersey on behalf of a class of New Jersey-based employees of the Company. The Company removed this case to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. In addition, three former employees filed age discrimination charges against the Company with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the pertinent state agencies in Texas, Minnesota and California, during 2005. Such filings include allegations that the release of claims signed by certain former employees in the purported class defined in the charges is invalid for various reasons. The same law firm represents the plaintiffs and claimants in each of these proceedings.

Environmental Matters and Litigation

Remediation: Under certain environmental laws, including the United States Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 and similar state laws, the Company may be jointly and severally liable, typically with other companies, for the costs of environmental contamination at current or former facilities and at off-site locations. The Company has identified numerous locations, most of which are in the United States, at which it may have some liability.

Regulatory Activities: As previously reported, the Company has been voluntarily cooperating with ongoing reviews by local, state, national (primarily the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)), and international agencies of possible environmental and health effects of perfluorooctanyl compounds (perfluorooctanoic acid or "PFOA" and perfluorooctane sulfonate or "PFOS"). As a result of its phase-out decision in May 2000, the Company no longer manufactures perfluorooctanyl compounds except that a subsidiary recovers and recycles PFOA for internal use in production processes.

As previously reported, the EPA signed a Memorandum of Understanding with the Company and Dyneon LLC, a subsidiary of the Company, in October, 2004, under which the Company is monitoring the potential presence of PFOA at and around the Company's manufacturing facility in Decatur, Alabama. Activities are in progress pursuant to this Memorandum of Understanding.

Regulatory activities concerning PFOA and/or PFOS continue in Europe and elsewhere, and before certain international bodies. These activities include gathering of exposure and use information, risk assessment, and consideration of regulatory approaches, including a proposal now pending before the European Union Parliament to implement a marketing and use directive to regulate PFOS.

On July 1, 2006, a Canadian regulatory agency, Environment Canada, issued its final ecological screening assessment report concluding that PFOS is persistent and may be harmful to the environment under the criteria set forth in the Canadian Environmental Protection Act ("CEPA"). According to CEPA, the Ministers of the Environment and Health must propose regulations to manage PFOS no later than July 1, 2008. Another Canadian agency, Health Canada, has not changed its draft report that exposures to

As previously reported, the Company and state agencies tested groundwater beneath three former waste disposal sites in Washington County, Minnesota, used many years ago by companies with which the Company contracted to dispose of waste containing perfluorinated compounds. The test results show that water from certain municipal wells in Oakdale, Minnesota near two of the former disposal sites and some private wells in that vicinity in Lake Elmo, Minnesota contain low levels of PFOS and PFOA that, in some cases, are slightly above guidelines established by the Minnesota Department of Health ("MDH"). The MDH is reevaluating these guidelines for PFOS/PFOA (i.e., the amount of a chemical in drinking water considered by the MDH staff to be safe for people to drink for a lifetime) and may lower them in the future. Additional testing by the MDH shows that water from the municipal wells in Oakdale, Minnesota and some private wells in Lake Elmo, Minnesota also contain low levels of other perfluorinated compounds. The MDH currently plans to use the guidelines for PFOS/PFOA for some of these compounds. As previously reported, the Company on its own initiative agreed with the City of Oakdale to construct, operate and maintain for at least five years a granular activated carbon water treatment system to treat one or more of Oakdale's municipal wells. The Company also donated several acres of land to the city of Lake Elmo, Minnesota for a water tower and granted the City approximately \$4 million that the City will use to expand municipal water service to neighborhoods that include a small number of private wells in which levels of PFOS, PFOA and other perfluorinated compounds have been detected. Both the Oakdale and Lake Elmo projects are scheduled for completion in the fall of 2006.

The Company is working with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency to determine whether low levels of PFOA, PFOS and other perfluorinated compounds in the soil at the Company's former perfluorinated compound production facility at Cottage Grove, Minnesota, in the groundwater under the former plant and third party disposal sites, and in river sediments near the former plant are continuing sources of such compounds in the Mississippi River, its fish and wildlife.

The Company cannot predict what regulatory actions arising from the foregoing proceedings and activities, if any, may be taken regarding such compounds or the consequences of any such actions.

Litigation: As previously reported, a former employee filed a purported class action lawsuit in 2002 in the Circuit Court of Morgan County, Alabama involving perfluorooctanyl chemistry. The lawsuit seeks unstated compensatory and punitive damages and alleges that the plaintiffs suffered fear, increased risk, sub clinical injuries and property damage from exposure to perfluorooctanyl chemistry at or near the Company's Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility. The complaint also alleges that the Company acted improperly with respect to disclosures to workers concerning such chemistry. The Circuit Court in 2005 granted the Company's motion to dismiss the named plaintiff's personal injury-related claims on the basis that such claims are barred by the exclusivity provisions of the state's Workers Compensation Act. Also in 2005, the judge in a second purported class action lawsuit (filed by three residents of Morgan County, Alabama seeking unstated compensatory and punitive damages involving alleged damage to their property from emissions of perfluorooctanyl compounds from the Company's Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility that formerly produced those compounds) granted the Company's motion to abate the case, effectively putting the case on hold pending the resolution of class certification issues in the action described above filed in the same court in 2002.

As previously reported, two residents of Washington County, Minnesota, filed in October 2004 a purported class action in the District Court of Washington County on behalf of Washington county residents whose property has allegedly been harmed and who have allegedly suffered personal injury from alleged emissions from the former perfluorooctanyl production facility at Cottage Grove, Minnesota. The lawsuit seeks unspecified damages in excess of \$50,000 per plaintiff and class member. After the District Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the claims for medical monitoring and public nuisance in April 2005, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint adding additional allegations involving other perfluorinated compounds manufactured by the Company, alleging additional legal theories in support of their claims, adding four plaintiffs, and seeking relief based on alleged contamination of the City of Oakdale municipal water supply and certain private wells in the vicinity of Lake Elmo, Minnesota. In April 2006, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint adding two additional plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' counsel also amended the definition of the class of plaintiffs whom they purport to represent in a manner that no longer includes the two persons who initially asserted the class claims. Those two plaintiffs thereafter dismissed their claims against the Company. The amended class is defined to include all individuals whose residential drinking water in Minnesota is or has been supplied by one or more wells that contains more than 0.05 parts per billion of one or more perfluorochemicals attributable to releases and/or wastes from the Company's Cottage Grove, Minnesota plant. Pretrial proceedings are in progress and the court has scheduled a hearing on plaintiffs' motion to certify the action as a class action for the spring of 2007.

In the second quarter of 2006, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection served a lawsuit that was filed in New Jersey state court against the Company and several other companies seeking cleanup and removal costs and

damages to natural resources allegedly caused by the discharge of hazardous substances from a former disposal site in New Jersey. The defendants removed the case to federal court.

Accrued Liabilities and Insurance Receivables Related to Legal Proceedings

The following table shows the major categories of on-going claims for which the Company has been able to estimate its probable liability and for which the Company has established reserves and the related insurance receivables:

LIABILITY AND RECEIVABLE BALANCES

<u>(Millions)</u>	<u>June 30</u> <u>2006</u>	<u>Dec. 31</u> <u>2005</u>
Breast implant liabilities	\$ 5	\$ 7
Breast implant insurance receivables	88	130
Respirator mask/asbestos liabilities	202	210
Respirator mask/asbestos insurance receivables	439	447
Environmental remediation liabilities	30	30
Environmental remediation receivables	15	15

For those significant pending legal proceedings that do not appear in the table and that are not the subject of pending settlement agreements, the Company has determined that liability is not probable or the amount of the liability is not estimable, or both, and the Company is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss at this time. The amounts in the preceding table with respect to breast implant and environmental remediation represent the Company's best estimate of the respective liabilities. The Company does not believe that there is any single best estimate of the respirator/mask/asbestos liability, nor that it can reliably estimate the amount or range of amounts by which the

liability may exceed the reserve the Company has established. The Company has recorded liabilities with respect to the three pending transparent tape antitrust class action settlements, including \$40 million recorded in the second quarter with respect to the settlement in principle reached in that quarter with respect to the class action brought on behalf of direct purchasers who did not purchase private label tape.

NOTE 10. Business Segments

As described in 3M's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, effective in the first quarter of 2006, 3M made the following changes to its business segments:

- 3M reorganized its reporting structure to combine its Industrial and its Transportation business segments (which on a combined basis had previously reported 2005 sales of \$5,578 million)
- 3M's Health Care business segment transferred certain products (2005 sales of \$613 million), primarily comprised of 3M Personal Care Division products, to the combined Industrial and Transportation segment.

In addition, during the first quarter of 2006, certain adhesive tapes (2005 sales of \$47 million) previously in the Industrial and Transportation segment were transferred to the construction and home improvement business within the Consumer and Office segment. On a reclassified basis, 2005 sales for Industrial and Transportation totaled \$6,144 million. This new Industrial and Transportation segment is intended to leverage common markets, sales channels and customers, technologies, manufacturing facilities and selling processes. Further, 3M formed the Film and Materials Resource Division as a corporate resource for the development of films and materials. This resulted in the transfer of 3M's commercial videotape business (2005 sales of \$47 million), which 3M began to phase out in the fourth quarter of 2004, to the Corporate and Unallocated segment from the Display and Graphics segment.

Also, as described in 3M's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, effective January 1, 2006, 3M adopted SFAS No. 123R, which requires 3M to expense stock-based compensation. The Company has adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified retrospective method. Effective January 1, 2006, all prior periods were revised to give effect to the fair-value-based method of accounting for awards granted in fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 1995.

The financial information presented herein reflects the impact of all of the preceding changes for all periods presented.

Business Segment Information

(Millions)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30 2006	2005	June 30 2006	2005
NET SALES				
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 1,690	\$ 1,518	\$ 3,392	\$ 3,042
Health Care	1,000	957	1,966	1,905
Display and Graphics	912	854	1,827	1,700
Consumer and Office	786	748	1,547	1,458
Electro and Communications	632	594	1,236	1,151
Safety, Security and Protection Services	653	599	1,284	1,156
Corporate and Unallocated	15	24	31	48
Total Company	\$ 5,688	\$ 5,294	\$ 11,283	\$ 10,460
OPERATING INCOME				
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 321	\$ 312	\$ 702	\$ 620
Health Care	261	284	559	556
Display and Graphics	241	277	537	562
Consumer and Office	121	136	257	250
Electro and Communications	123	115	250	210
Safety, Security and Protection Services	145	147	309	273
Corporate and Unallocated	(37)	(27)	(70)	(74)
Total Company	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,244	\$ 2,544	\$ 2,397

Corporate and unallocated operating income includes a variety of miscellaneous items, such as corporate investment gains and losses, certain derivative gains and losses, insurance-related gains and losses, certain litigation expenses, and corporate restructuring program charges. Because this category includes a variety of miscellaneous items, it is subject to fluctuation on a quarterly and annual basis.

NOTE 11. Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has performed reviews of the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements included herein, and their review report thereon accompanies this filing. Pursuant to Rule 436(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Act") their report on these reviews should not be considered a "report" within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act and the independent registered public accounting firm liability under Section 11 does not extend to it.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of 3M Company:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of 3M Company and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, of changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and of cash flows for the year then ended, management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005; and in our report dated February 13, 2006, we expressed unqualified opinions thereon. The consolidated financial statements and management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting referred to above are not presented herein. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived. As discussed in Note 1, the Company changed its accounting policy for stock-based compensation awards exchanged for employee services and accordingly the accompanying December 31, 2005 balance sheet reflects adjustments relating to this change. We have not audited the accompanying balance sheet.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota

August 1, 2006

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

OVERVIEW

3M is a diversified global manufacturer, technology innovator and marketer of a wide variety of products. 3M manages its operations in six operating business segments: Industrial and Transportation, Health Care, Display and Graphics, Consumer and Office, Electro and Communications, and Safety, Security and Protection Services.

As discussed in Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements effective in the first quarter of 2006, 3M made certain changes to its business segments. As discussed in Note 1, effective January 1, 2006, 3M adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" (SFAS No. 123R), which requires 3M to expense stock-based compensation. The Company has adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified retrospective method. Effective January 1, 2006, all prior periods were revised to give effect to the fair-value-based method of accounting for awards granted in fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 1995.

Stock-based compensation expense by segment for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 is summarized by business segment in the table that follows.

Stock-based compensation expense

(Dollars in millions)	Three months ended June 30			Six months ended June 30		
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 23	\$ 13	\$ 10	\$ 30	\$ 33	\$ (3)
Health Care	20	8	12	26	25	1
Display and Graphics	13	4	9	16	14	2
Consumer and Office	11	5	6	14	15	(1)
Electro and Communications	9	5	4	12	12	—
Safety, Security and Protection Services	10	4	6	13	11	2
Corporate and Unallocated	7	—	7	7	—	7
Total Company	\$ 93	\$ 39	\$ 54	\$ 118	\$ 110	\$ 8

The \$54 million increase in stock option expense in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 is not due to granting more options to employees. The increase was due to a requirement under SFAS No. 123R to immediately expense stock options on the grant date for those employees who are considered retirement eligible (non-substantive vesting period approach). A 3M employee is considered to be retirement eligible upon reaching age 55 with 5 years of service. Approximately 25% of the annual grant award is to these employees. Since 3M's annual employee stock options grant is in the second quarter, the immediate expensing of those options granted to retirement eligible employees resulted in higher stock option expense in the second quarter of 2006. 3M changed to the non-substantive vesting period approach for new stock compensation grants made after the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. In the second quarter of 2006, the specific cost associated with this pool of employees was \$55 million pre-tax.

For the six-months ended June 30, 2006, pre-tax stock option expense increased \$8 million. The significant retirement eligible impact in the second quarter of 2006 is largely offset by a change in 3M's options vesting period from one year to three years starting with the May 2005 stock option grant. This change in vesting period resulted in lower expense in the first quarter of 2006 when compared to the first quarter of 2005.

The financial information presented herein reflects the impact of all of the above changes for all periods presented.

For the three months ended June 30, 2006, 3M reported net sales of \$5.688 billion and net income of \$882 million, or \$1.15 per diluted share, compared with net sales of \$5.294 billion and net income of \$754 million, or \$0.96 per diluted share, for the three months ended June 30, 2005. Diluted earnings per share increased 19.8%. Special items in the second quarter of 2006 benefited net income by \$74 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share, while special items in the second quarter of 2005 penalized net income by \$75 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share. The second quarter of 2006 included positive benefits from income tax adjustments (\$105 million), partially offset by settlement costs of a previously disclosed antitrust class action (\$40 million pre-tax) and costs related to the Company's current efforts to seek strategic alternatives for its branded pharmaceuticals business (\$9 million pre-tax). The second quarter of 2005 included a charge of \$75 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share, related to the domestic reinvestment provision of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. These special items are discussed in more detail in Note 5 (Income Taxes), Note 9 (Commitments and Contingencies) and in Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial

Condition and Results of Operations (specifically the selling, general and administrative expenses discussion, income taxes discussion, and Health Care Business discussion).

The following table summarizes sales and operating income results by business segment, including the impact of stock-based compensation.

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30				% change	
	2006		2005		Net Sales	Oper. Income
	Net Sales	Oper. Income	Net Sales	Oper. Income		
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 1,690	\$ 321	\$ 1,518	\$ 312	11.4%	2.8%
Health Care	1,000	261	957	284	4.4%	(8.2)%
Display and Graphics	912	241	854	277	6.9%	(13.0)%
Consumer and Office	786	121	748	136	5.1%	(11.1)%
Electro and Communications	632	123	594	115	6.5%	7.0%
Safety, Security and Protection Services	653	145	599	147	8.9%	(1.6)%
Corporate and Unallocated	15	(37)	24	(27)		
Total Company	\$ 5,688	\$ 1,175	\$ 5,294	\$ 1,244	7.5%	(5.5)%

Worldwide total sales growth was 7.5%. Local-currency sales growth (defined as volume plus selling price) was 7.2% for the second quarter of 2006, with organic local-currency growth of 4.6% and acquisitions, primarily CUNO, adding 2.6%. All six businesses posted positive organic local-currency sales growth in the second quarter of 2006. Many of 3M's core businesses, such as dental, commercial graphics, electronics markets materials, electrical markets, construction and home improvement and occupational health and environmental safety, all experienced double-digit local currency sales growth. However, CRT rear projection lens, Personal Care diaper tape and visual systems continued to experience sales declines. In Display and Graphics, optical film sales volumes increased at double-digit rates; however, sales growth was below expectations due to declining sales of LCD desktop monitors and an inventory build in the distribution channel for LCD TVs. The timing of the Easter holiday had a negative impact of approximately 1% on worldwide sales growth in the second quarter of 2006. Refer to the Performance by Business Segment section for a more detailed discussion of the results of the respective segments.

Geographically, Asia Pacific led organic local-currency sales growth in the second quarter of 2006, with an increase of 6.5%. Organic local-currency sales increased 3.1% in Europe, including an unfavorable impact from the timing of the Easter holiday of approximately 4%. Organic local-currency sales increased 4.9% in the United States and were up 3.5% in the combined Latin America and Canada area. Currency effects increased international sales by 0.6%. Foreign currency translation effects reduced Asia Pacific sales by 0.8%, as the U.S. dollar strengthened against these currencies. Foreign currency translation positively impacted the combined Latin America and Canada area sales by 4.9% and the Europe area by 0.5%, as the U.S. dollar weakened against these currencies.

Operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2006 declined 5.5% year-on-year. Higher stock options expense accounted for 4.3% of this decline and an additional 3.9% of the decrease was due to special items. In addition to higher stock options expense, Display and Graphics second quarter operating income was lower due to softer optical film sales volume, mix, and operational challenges. Operating income margins for the second quarter of 2006 were 20.7%.

3M generated \$1.418 billion of operating cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 which was a decrease of \$707 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005, primarily due to higher tax payments to the Internal Revenue Service in the first half of 2006 compared to the first half last year. The 2006 tax payments related to the extension payment for 2005 tax year and estimated payments for the 2006 tax year, all of which were larger than similar payments in previous years. The Company also increased inventory in anticipation of higher demand.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006 the Company utilized \$1.473 billion of cash to repurchase 3M common stock and pay dividends. 3M's Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of up to \$2 billion of the Company's stock between February 13, 2006 and February 28, 2007. 3M's Board also authorized a dividend increase of 9.5% for 2006, marking the 48th consecutive year of annual dividend increases for 3M. 3M's debt to

total capital ratio (total capital defined as debt plus equity) as of June 30, 2006 was 19%. 3M has an AA credit rating from Standard & Poor's and an Aa1 credit rating from Moody's Investors Service.

As previously announced, 3M is pursuing strategic alternatives for its branded pharmaceuticals business. An offering memorandum was distributed during the second quarter of 2006. 3M has received initial bids, and due diligence is in process. 3M has incurred and will continue to incur restructuring charges and other expenses associated with evaluating strategic options for its pharmaceuticals business.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Percent change information compares the second quarter and first six months of 2006 with the same period last year, unless otherwise indicated.

Net Sales:

	Three months ended June 30, 2006			Six months ended June 30, 2006		
	Worldwide	U.S.	International	Worldwide	U.S.	International
Net sales (millions)	\$ 5,688	\$ 2,238	\$ 3,450	\$ 11,283	\$ 4,384	\$ 6,899
Components of net sales change:						
Volume – organic	4.8%	3.1%	6.0%	6.5%	4.2%	7.8%
Volume – acquisitions	2.6	3.5	1.9	2.4	3.5	1.8
Volume – total	7.4	6.6	7.9	8.9	7.7	9.6
Price	(0.2)	1.8	(1.6)	(0.1)	1.9	(1.4)
Total local-currency sales	7.2	8.4	6.3	8.8	9.6	8.2
Translation	0.3	—	0.6	(0.9)	—	(1.4)
Total sales change	7.5%	8.4%	6.9%	7.9%	9.6%	6.8%

In the second quarter of 2006, local-currency sales growth was broad based. Worldwide sales in dollars increased 7.5%, with organic volumes up 4.8% and acquisitions, primarily CUNO, adding 2.6% to growth. Selling prices and foreign exchange impacts basically offset one another in the quarter. In the United States, sales improved 8.4% vs.

last year's second-quarter. Organic local-currency growth in the quarter was 4.9% with volumes up 3.1% and selling prices adding 1.8%. U.S. organic growth was led by our Consumer and Office, Health Care and Display and Graphics businesses. Acquisitions, primarily CUNO, added 3.5% to U.S. growth in the second quarter of 2006.

International sales were up 6.9% in U.S. dollar terms. Local-currency sales were up 6.3%, with organic sales volume up 6.0% and selling prices down 1.6%. International selling prices continue to be negatively impacted by businesses that serve the consumer electronics industry. International sales were impacted by the slowdown in the LCD industry, as our optical film sales are largely recorded where our customers reside in the Asia Pacific region. Acquisitions added 1.9% of additional growth, and foreign currency translation increased second-quarter sales by about a half a point. Refer to the "Performance by Business Segment" section for additional discussion of sales change by segment.

Organic local-currency growth was 6.5% in Asia Pacific, with Japan down one percent and the rest of the region up 11.1%. Acquisitions added 2.2% of additional growth in the quarter. All six businesses posted positive organic local-currency growth in Asia Pacific during the quarter. Europe delivered 4.9% local-currency growth in the quarter, including acquisition related growth of 1.8%. Second quarter growth in Europe was tempered due to the timing of the Easter holiday. Since Easter is in the second quarter this year compared with the first quarter last year, local currency growth in Europe was lower due to fewer billing days in the second quarter. The impact on Europe's second quarter local-currency growth was about 4%. European organic local currency growth for the first six months of 2006 was 5.5%, an improvement compared to recent years. Organic local-currency growth was just under 4% in Latin America. Latin America local-currency growth was negatively impacted by the decline in our CRT rear projection lens business in Mexico and the move of a sizable flexible circuit customer from Puerto Rico to Singapore. These two items reduced Latin America local currency sales by 4.6%. Acquisitions added an additional 1.9 percentage points of growth in the quarter.

26

Operating Expenses:

(Percent of net sales)	Three months ended			Six months ended		
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	Change
Cost of sales	49.9%	49.2%	0.7%	49.3%	49.3%	0.0%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23.2	21.3	1.9	22.2	21.7	0.5
Research, development and related expenses	6.2	6.0	0.2	6.0	6.1	(0.1)
Operating income	20.7%	23.5%	(2.8)%	22.5%	22.9%	(0.4)%

As previously mentioned, all amounts presented include the impact of stock option expensing.

Cost of sales as a percent of net sales were up seven-tenths of one percentage point in the second quarter compared to the same period in 2005. The combination of lower than anticipated sales volumes, unfavorable LCD product mix, higher than anticipated start-up costs for our new LCD multi-layer manufacturing facility, along with supply chain inefficiencies in a handful of businesses that are capacity constrained, drove much of the increase. These businesses include roofing granules, medical supplies and respiratory products. In addition, 0.3 percentage points of the cost of sales increase was attributable to the difference in stock options expense year-on-year. Raw material costs increased approximately 3% for the second quarter of 2006 when compared to the same period in 2005 which negatively impacted cost of sales by approximately 0.6 percentage points. Cost of sales as a percent of net sales were flat for the first six months of 2006 when compared to the first six months of 2005. Cost of sales includes manufacturing, engineering and freight costs.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses as a percent of net sales increased 1.9 percentage points when compared to the same period in 2005. Approximately 0.8 percentage points of this increase related to two special items. First, 3M entered into an agreement in principle during the second quarter of 2006 to resolve the antitrust class action involving direct purchasers of branded transparent tape (but not private label tape) for approximately \$40 million (recorded in Corporate segment) that, as previously disclosed, had been scheduled to start trial at the end of May. The settlement is conditioned on court approval, which will be sought promptly upon execution of final settlement documents and is expected to be granted later this year or early next year. Second, during the quarter we incurred expenses associated with our efforts in seeking strategic alternatives for our pharmaceutical business. These costs of approximately \$9 million (recorded in Health Care segment) include such items as professional fees along with retention bonuses for key employees during this transition period. The balance of the increase in SG&A was largely due to the combination of higher advertising and merchandising investments, along with hiring additional sales reps to ensure better global market coverage in many of our businesses. These investments are aimed directly at higher revenue growth. Finally, 0.4 percentage points of the SG&A increase was attributable to the difference in stock options expense year-on-year. Second-quarter 2005 SG&A expense includes \$15 million in net cost related to respirator mask/asbestos litigation (recorded in Corporate segment).

Operating Income:

3M uses operating income as one of its primary business segment performance measurement tools. Operating income has steadily improved the past few years, helped by solid sales growth and positive benefits from 3M's corporate initiatives. Operating income of 22.5% in the first six months of 2006 is down slightly from 22.9% for total year 2005. Operating margins were 20.7% in the second quarter of 2006.

Interest Expense and Income:

(Millions)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Interest expense	\$ 25	\$ 19	\$ 47	\$ 39
Interest income	(14)	(16)	(22)	(32)
Total	\$ 11	\$ 3	\$ 25	\$ 7

Interest expense increased for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 when compared to the same period in 2005, primarily related to higher interest rates. Interest income was lower in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, with lower average cash balances partially offset by higher interest rates.

27

Provision for Income Taxes:

(Percent of pre-tax income)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Effective tax rate	23.3%	38.2%	28.4%	35.0%

The tax rate for the second quarter of 2006 was 23.3%, compared to 38.2% in the second quarter of 2005. The \$105 million reduction in tax reserves in the second quarter of 2006 reduced our second-quarter 2006 tax rate by approximately 9% and the first six-month 2006 tax rate by approximately 4% (refer to Note 5 for more detail). The tax rate also reflects a 30 basis point increase due to the expiration of the R&D and Orphan Drug Tax Credits on December 31, 2005. In the event the Internal Revenue Code is amended to reinstate these credits, an equivalent positive impact would be reflected in our tax rate in future quarters. In the second quarter of 2005, the Company announced its intent to reinvest \$1.7 billion of foreign earnings in the United States pursuant to the provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. As a consequence, in the second quarter of 2005, 3M recorded a charge of \$75 million after-tax, which negatively impacted our second-quarter 2005 tax rate by approximately 6% and our six-month 2005 tax rate by approximately 3%.

The Company will complete the preparation and filing of its 2005 U.S. federal income tax return in the third quarter of 2006. As part of this process, the Company anticipates that it may record a positive adjustment to its provision for U.S. income taxes for 2005.

Minority Interest:

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Minority Interest	\$ 10	\$ 12	\$ 23	\$ 27

Minority interest expense eliminates the income or loss attributable to non-3M ownership interests in 3M consolidated entities. 3M's most significant consolidated entity with non-3M ownership interests is Sumitomo 3M Limited (3M owns 75% of Sumitomo 3M Limited). The decrease in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 related primarily to foreign currency translation effects which reduced Sumitomo 3M Limited operating income as reported in U.S. dollars, as the U.S. dollar strengthened significantly against the Japanese yen when compared to the same period last year.

Currency Effects:

3M estimates that year-on-year currency effects, including hedging impacts, increased net income by approximately \$20 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and approximately \$25 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. This estimate includes the effect of translating profits from local currencies into U.S. dollars; the impact of currency fluctuations on the transfer of goods between 3M operations in the United States and abroad; and transaction gains and losses, including derivative instruments designed to reduce foreign currency exchange rate risks. 3M estimates that year-on-year derivative and other transaction gains and losses increased net income by approximately \$20 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and approximately \$40 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

New Accounting Pronouncements:

Information regarding new accounting pronouncements is included in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. In March 2006, the FASB issued Proposed SFAS Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132R. This exposure draft impacts the valuation of the additional minimum pension liability by recognition of previously unrecognized items including the unrecognized net transition assets or obligations recognized as an adjustment to retained earnings and unrecognized actuarial gain/loss and unrecognized prior service cost/credits recognized as an adjustment to other comprehensive income. The Company is presently reviewing the potential impact of this proposed financial accounting standard.

PERFORMANCE BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

Disclosures relating to 3M's business segments are provided in Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Information related to 3M's business segments is presented in the tables that follow. Local-currency sales (which includes both organic and acquisition volume impacts plus price impacts) are provided for each segment. The translation impact and total sales change are also provided for each segment. Operating income in all segments was penalized by higher stock-based compensation expense in the second quarter of 2006, with first six months 2006 stock-based compensation expense similar to the same period last year. The impact of stock-based compensation on business results is summarized in a table included in the overview section.

Industrial and Transportation Business:

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Sales (millions)	\$ 1,690	\$ 1,518	\$ 3,392	\$ 3,042
Sales change analysis:				
Local currency (volume and price)	11.0%	3.6%	12.5%	3.5%
Translation	0.4	3.0	(1.0)	2.9
Total sales change	11.4%	6.6%	11.5%	6.4%
Operating income (millions)	\$ 321	\$ 312	\$ 702	\$ 620
Percent change	2.8%	17.5%	13.2%	12.9%
Percent of sales	19.0%	20.6%	20.7%	20.4%

The Industrial and Transportation segment serves a broad range of markets, such as appliance, paper and packaging, food and beverage, and automotive. Industrial and Transportation products include tapes, a wide variety of coated and non-woven abrasives, adhesives, specialty materials, supply chain execution software solutions, closures for disposable diapers, and components and products that are used in the manufacture, repair and maintenance of automotive, marine, aircraft and specialty vehicles.

Second quarter of 2006:

Local-currency sales grew 11.0%, including 7.9% growth from acquisitions, primarily related to the August 2005 acquisition of CUNO. Operating income in the second-quarter was \$321 million, up about 3%, which includes a negative 3.2% year-on-year impact from the expensing of stock options. Organic sales growth in this segment was led by the industrial adhesives and tapes business along with the automotive aftermarket business, while growth in the automotive OEM business continues to be impacted by softness in the US automotive industry. As in past quarters, sales in the Personal Care diaper tape business continued to decline year-on-year, which reduced overall Industrial and Transportation sales and operating income growth by 0.8% and 1.4%, respectively. This business is working to invent new solutions for its customers to recover volume lost over the past year or so.

First six months of 2006:

Local-currency sales grew 12.5%, including 7.7% growth from acquisitions, primarily related to the August 2005 acquisition of CUNO. Operating income for the first six months of 2006 was \$702 million, up 13.2%. Organic sales growth for the first six months was led by the industrial adhesives and tapes business along with the automotive aftermarket business. The Personal Care diaper tape business continued to decline year-on-year, which reduced sales and operating income growth for the overall Industrial and Transportation segment by approximately 1.1% and 1.7%, respectively.

Health Care Business:

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Sales (millions)	\$ 1,000	\$ 957	\$ 1,966	\$ 1,905
Sales change analysis:				
Local currency (volume and price)	4.1%	5.4%	4.6%	5.5%
Translation	0.3	2.1	(1.4)	2.3
Total sales change	4.4%	7.5%	3.2%	7.8%
Operating income (millions)	\$ 261	\$ 284	\$ 559	\$ 556
Percent change	(8.2)%	19.8%	0.6%	20.7%
Percent of sales	26.1%	29.7%	28.4%	29.2%

The Health Care segment serves markets that include medical, surgical, pharmaceutical, dental and orthodontic, health information systems and personal care. Products provided to these markets include medical and surgical supplies, skin health and infection prevention products, pharmaceuticals, drug delivery systems, dental and orthodontic products, health information systems and microbiology products.

Second quarter of 2006:

Health Care sales were \$1 billion in the second quarter of 2006. Organic local currency growth was 3.3%, with acquisitions adding an additional 0.8% of growth. In April 2006, 3M completed its acquisition of OMNII Oral Pharmaceuticals. Adding OMNII's preventive expertise, products and capability to educate customers will enable 3M to deliver more value to dental practices while participating in a rapidly growing segment. As discussed further below, the Company is exploring strategic alternatives for its pharmaceutical business, which is approximately 20% of Health Care sales. Pharmaceutical sales declined in the second quarter of 2006, while the remaining businesses organic local currency sales growth was approximately 7%. Local-currency growth was led by the dental and medical supplies businesses. Geographically, Health Care's revenue growth was strongest in the U.S. and Canada. Operating income in the quarter on a reported basis was down 8.2%, primarily due to a \$12 million negative impact from stock options expensing and \$9 million in expenses associated with 3M's efforts in seeking strategic alternatives for its pharmaceuticals business.

First six months of 2006:

Health Care sales were \$1.966 billion for the first six months of 2006. Organic local currency growth was 4.2%, with acquisitions adding an additional 0.4% of growth. Pharmaceutical sales declined in the first six months of 2006, while the remaining businesses organic local currency growth was approximately 7%. Local-currency growth was led by the dental and medical supplies businesses. Operating income for the first six months was up 0.6%.

The underlying fundamentals in Health Care are very strong. An aging population, along with emerging economies rapidly adopting western health care practices, make this business an important platform for future growth. 3M is investing in sales and marketing capabilities in this business in 3M's core strength areas, such as infection prevention, wound care, dental and orthodontic product and systems, and others.

3M announced on April 4, 2006 that it would explore strategic alternatives for its global branded pharmaceuticals business and immune response modifier platform. An offering memorandum was distributed during the second quarter of 2006. 3M has received initial bids, and due diligence is in process. 3M believes that in today's very competitive pharmaceutical marketplace, continued success in its branded pharmaceuticals business requires broad pipelines of new drugs, significant investments, and a longer term risk-reward business model than what applies to most other 3M businesses. This business is a valuable asset and there may be more opportunities for technology and market synergies with a company other than 3M.

Display and Graphics Business:

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Sales (millions)	\$ 912	\$ 854	\$ 1,827	\$ 1,700
Sales change analysis:				
Local currency (volume and price)	6.5%	(1.8)%	8.0%	—%
Translation	0.4	0.3	(0.5)	0.8
Total sales change	6.9%	(1.5)%	7.5%	0.8%
Operating income (millions)	\$ 241	\$ 277	\$ 537	\$ 562
Percent change	(13.0)%	(9.6)%	(4.5)%	(5.9)%
Percent of sales	26.4%	32.5%	29.4%	33.1%

The Display and Graphics segment serves markets that include electronic display, touch screen, traffic safety and commercial graphics. This segment includes optical film and lens solutions for electronic displays; touch screens and touch monitors; reflective sheeting for transportation safety; and commercial graphics systems.

Second quarter of 2006:

The Display and Graphics business posted local-currency sales growth of 6.5% with an operating income decline of approximately 13%, including a 3.3% reduction in income growth due to stock option expenses. Commercial Graphics delivered strong double digit local currency growth in the second-quarter with strong end market penetration and differentiated products. Traffic Safety Systems continued the momentum from the last three quarters with a continued focus on innovative new products such as diamond

grade reflective traffic signs. As in past quarters, sales growth in Display and Graphics was dampened by the continuing decline of our CRT rear projection lens business, which negatively impacted second quarter 2006 net sales by 1.6%.

Optical film sales volumes increased at double digit rates in the second quarter; however, sales growth was below expectations due to declining sales of LCD desktop monitors and an inventory build in the distribution channel for LCD TVs. 3M expects the business over time to become more seasonal with the second quarter the weakest and the last half of the year the strongest as LCD TVs become a bigger part of 3M's optical film revenue mix. Since pricing pressure in this industry is significant, 3M continues to experience year-over-year price reductions in our LCD films business.

Second quarter operating income was lower than expected due to softer optical film sales volume, unfavorable LCD product mix, and operational challenges. While 3M expects to offset some of the continued downward pricing pressure in optical films with ongoing cost improvements, the mix shift from LCD desktop monitors to LCD TVs is expected to result in operating margins that are lower than in the past. On the operational side, 3M experienced start-up challenges and costs associated with the highly complex manufacturing processes in our new facility producing multi-layer optical films. 3M believes that despite these higher than expected start-up costs, this facility will play a vital role in the success of the optical film business.

First six months of 2006:

The Display and Graphics business posted local-currency sales growth of 8.0% with an operating income decline of 4.5%. Commercial Graphics delivered strong double-digit local currency growth in the first six months of 2006 with strong end market penetration and differentiated products. Traffic Safety Systems also continued the momentum from the last three quarters. Optical film sales volumes increased at double digit rates in the first six months of 2006, but was below expectations. As discussed above, softer optical sales volume, mix and operational challenges penalized both second quarter and first six months 2006 operating income. As in past quarters, sales growth in Display and Graphics was also dampened by the continuing decline of our CRT rear projection lens business, which negatively impacted first six months 2006 net sales by 2.5%.

Looking ahead, 3M expects that excess retail inventory in the LCD industry will likely persist into the third quarter of 2006, but 3M does not know precisely how long it will take. 3M expects LCD industry fundamentals to improve in the second half of the year, which is seasonally strongest for TVs. 3M also expects to make significant progress on operational issues during the second half of the year. As the LCD TV market is expected to grow substantially over the next few years, 3M's profit opportunity remains extremely favorable. However, pricing pressure in this industry is significant, thus even with ongoing cost improvements, the operating income margins may be lower than in the past.

31

Consumer and Office Business:

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Sales (millions)	\$ 786	\$ 748	\$ 1,547	\$ 1,458
Sales change analysis:				
Local currency (volume and price)	4.6%	7.0%	6.4%	3.4%
Translation	0.5	2.2	(0.3)	2.2
Total sales change	5.1%	9.2%	6.1%	5.6%
Operating income (millions)	\$ 121	\$ 136	\$ 257	\$ 250
Percent change	(11.1)%	16.7%	2.7%	6.9%
Percent of sales	15.4%	18.2%	16.6%	17.2%

The Consumer and Office segment serves markets that include consumer retail, office retail, education, home improvement, building maintenance and other markets. Products in this segment include office supply products, stationery products, construction and home improvement products, home care products, protective material products (including consumer health care products such as bandages), and visual systems products.

Second quarter of 2006:

Consumer and Office posted organic local currency sales growth of 4.4%. The acquisition of InterChemall, a Polish manufacturer of home care products, added an additional 0.2% of growth in the second quarter. Growth was led by businesses serving the retail do-it-yourself channel — boosted by outstanding brands such as Scotch blue masking tape and Filtrete home filters. 3M also posted solid growth in the retail office superstores and commercial office channel. On a geographic basis, revenue growth was strongest in the United States, while growth outside the US remains a bigger challenge, particularly in Western Europe.

Second quarter operating income was \$121 million, down about 11% year on year. Stock option expenses reduced operating income growth by about 4 percentage points. During the second quarter we increased our advertising and merchandising investment in Consumer and Office to support the recent launch of a national advertising campaign for Post-It® Picture Paper, along with other products such as Scotch® blue masking tape, Scotch-Brite® home cleaning products and Nexcare™ bandages. While this investment impacted the growth rate in operating income this quarter, it is a vital step in supporting both new and existing products for 3M's retail customer base. 3M's Visual Systems business, which offers primarily analog overhead and electronic projectors and film, continued to experience declines, which reduced second-quarter Consumer and Office sales and operating income by 1.1% and 1.6%, respectively.

First six months of 2006:

Consumer and Office organic local currency sales growth of 6.1% was broad-based across the portfolio, with an additional 0.3% growth from the InterChemall acquisition. First six months 2006 operating income was \$257 million, up 2.7% year on year. 3M's Visual Systems business reduced first six-months 2006 Consumer and Office sales and operating income by 1.4% and 1.3%, respectively.

32

Electro and Communications Business:

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Sales (millions)	\$ 632	\$ 594	\$ 1,236	\$ 1,151

Sales change analysis:

Local currency (volume and price)	6.1%	1.5%	8.2%	—%
Translation	0.4	2.3	(0.8)	2.4
Total sales change	6.5%	3.8%	7.4%	2.4%

Operating income (millions)	\$ 123	\$ 115	\$ 250	\$ 210
Percent change	7.0%	38.2%	18.9%	35.9%
Percent of sales	19.4%	19.3%	20.2%	18.2%

The Electro and Communications segment serves the electrical, electronics and communications industries, including electrical utilities; electrical construction, maintenance and repair; OEM electrical and electronics; computers and peripherals; consumer electronics; telecommunications central office, outside plant and enterprise; as well as aerospace, military, automotive and medical markets; with products that enable the efficient transmission of electrical power and speed the delivery of information and ideas. Products include electronic and interconnect solutions, micro interconnect systems, high-performance fluids, high-temperature and display tapes, telecommunications products and electrical products.

Second quarter of 2006:

Electro and Communications business posted sales of \$632 million. Organic local currency growth was 5.3%, driven by strong global demand for our specialty adhesives, fluids and tapes for the electronics market, along with electrical products for insulating, testing and sensing. Acquisitions contributed another 0.8% to growth in the quarter, related to the acquisition of flexible circuit technology for ultrasound machines from Siemens. Operating income was \$123 million, up 7% year-on-year, and including a negative 4.3% impact of stock option expenses.

First six months of 2006:

Electro and Communications has performed consistently well over the past several quarters. Organic local currency growth for the first six months of 2006 was 7.5%, with this growth attributable to the electronics and electrical markets. The Siemens acquisition contributed 0.7% to sales growth. Operating income was \$250 million, up nearly 19% year-on-year.

Safety, Security and Protection Services Business:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Sales (millions)	\$ 653	\$ 599	\$ 1,284	\$ 1,156
Sales change analysis:				
Local currency (volume and price)	8.3%	7.0%	11.8%	5.1%
Translation	0.6	2.6	(0.8)	2.6
Total sales change	8.9%	9.6%	11.0%	7.7%
Operating income (millions)	\$ 145	\$ 147	\$ 309	\$ 273
Percent change	(1.6)%	13.7%	13.1%	9.3%
Percent of sales	22.1%	24.5%	24.0%	23.6%

The Safety, Security and Protection Services segment serves a broad range of markets that strive to increase the safety, security and productivity of workers, facilities and systems. Major product offerings include personal protection products, safety and security products, energy control products, cleaning and protection products for commercial establishments, and roofing granules for asphalt shingles.

Second quarter of 2006:

Local currency growth in the Safety, Security and Protection Services business was up 8.3% to \$653 million. Growth in the business continues to be driven by strong global demand for personal safety products, especially

respiratory protection. 3M continues to invest in additional respirator capacity, such as the recent announcement of a new respirator manufacturing facility in Korea, which will serve the Asia Pacific region. Operating income was \$145 million in the second quarter, down 1.6% versus last year's second quarter, including a 3.7% negative impact from stock option expenses.

Gross margins declined both year-on-year and sequentially in this business, impacted by supply chain disruptions in our roofing granules business. After last year's severe hurricane season, 3M has been running plants that serve the south and southeast U.S. at full capacity. As a result, 3M has not been able to perform routine maintenance which is causing manufacturing inefficiencies at those locations. Therefore, 3M has sourced product from facilities in other geographic regions to meet customer demand. Rock is costly to ship, and 3M has absorbed added cost when it becomes necessary to supply product from alternative manufacturing facilities.

First six months of 2006:

Local currency growth in the Safety, Security and Protection Services business was up 11.8% to \$1.284 billion. Growth in the business continues to be driven by strong global demand for personal safety products, especially respiratory protection. Operating income was \$309 million in the first six months of 2006, up 13.1% versus the first six months last year.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

The Company's net debt position is as follows:

(Millions)	June 30 2006	Dec. 31 2005
Total Debt	\$ 2,711	\$ 2,381
Less: Cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities	1,309	1,072
Net Debt	\$ 1,402	\$ 1,309

Total debt at both June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, was approximately 19% of total capital (total capital is defined as debt plus equity). 3M believes its ongoing cash flows provide ample cash to fund expected investments and capital expenditures. The Company has an AA credit rating from Standard & Poor's and an Aa1 credit rating from Moody's Investors Service. The Company has sufficient access to capital markets to meet currently anticipated growth and acquisition investment funding needs. The Company does not utilize derivative instruments linked to the Company's stock. However, the Company does have contingently convertible debt that, if conditions for conversion are met, is convertible into shares of 3M Company stock (refer to Note 1 in this document).

The Company's financial condition and liquidity are strong. Various assets and liabilities, including cash and short-term debt, can fluctuate significantly from month-to-month depending on short-term liquidity needs. Working capital (defined as current assets minus current liabilities) totaled \$2.675 billion at June 30, 2006, increasing \$798 million from December 31, 2005, with this increase driven by higher accounts receivable, inventories and marketable securities partially offset by higher short-term debt. Primary short-term liquidity needs are provided through U.S. commercial paper and euro commercial paper issuances. Medium-term note shelf borrowing capacity totaled \$1.438 billion as of June 30, 2006. Credit support for outstanding commercial paper is provided by a five-year \$565 million credit agreement established in March 2005 among a group of primary relationship banks. This \$565 million credit facility provides up to \$115 million in letters of credit (\$97 million of which was utilized at June 30, 2006), with provisions for increasing this limit up to \$150 million. This credit agreement requires 3M to maintain a capitalization ratio at no more than 0.60 to 1 at the end of each quarter. This ratio is calculated as funded debt (including all borrowed money and letters of credit utilized) to the sum of funded debt and equity. At June 30, 2006, this ratio was approximately 0.20 to 1. To benefit from the SEC Securities Offering Reform rules applicable to well-known seasoned issuers, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC on February 24, 2006, which became effective automatically, to register an indeterminate amount of debt or equity securities for future sales. No securities were issued off this shelf. The Company intends to use the proceeds from future securities sales off this shelf for general corporate purposes.

The Company uses various working capital measures that place emphasis and focus on certain working capital assets and liabilities. These measures are not defined under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and may not be computed the same as similarly titled measures used by other companies. One of the primary working capital measures 3M uses is a combined index, which includes accounts receivables, inventory and accounts payable. This combined index (defined as quarterly net sales — fourth quarter at year-end — multiplied by four, divided

by ending net accounts receivable plus inventory less accounts payable) was 5.2 at June 30, 2006, down from 5.7 at December 31, 2005, and also a decrease from 5.6 at June 30, 2005. Receivables increased \$333 million, or 11.7%, compared with December 31, 2005, with higher June 2006 sales compared to December 2005 sales contributing to the increase. Inventories increased \$395 million, or 18.3%, compared with December 31, 2005, as the Company increased inventory in anticipation of higher demand. Accounts payable increased \$87 million compared with December 31, 2005.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities:

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 1,781	\$ 1,525
Depreciation and amortization	479	479
Company pension contributions	(72)	(76)
Company postretirement contributions	(26)	(68)
Company pension expense	154	174
Company postretirement expense	44	53
Income taxes (deferred and accrued income taxes)	(311)	350
Accounts receivable	(270)	(291)
Inventories	(352)	(193)
Accounts payable	71	83
Product and other insurance receivables and claims	8	(12)
Other — net	(88)	101
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,418	\$ 2,125

Cash flows from operating activities can fluctuate significantly from period to period, as pension funding decisions, tax timing differences and other items can significantly impact cash flows. In the first six months of 2006, cash flows provided by operating activities decreased \$707 million. This decrease was due in large part to first half 2006 tax payments of approximately \$1 billion versus \$500 million in the same period last year. The tax payments in 2006 related to the extension payment for 2005 tax year and the estimated payments for the 2006 tax year, all of which were larger than similar payments in previous years. In addition, 3M increased inventory in anticipation of higher demand. The category "Other-net" in the preceding table reflects changes in other asset and liability accounts. For example, annual rebates to be paid to customers are accrued as a liability throughout the year as earned, with significant payments to customers typically occurring in the first quarter of each year.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities:

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005
Purchases of property, plant and equipment (PP&E)	\$ (451)	\$ (452)
Proceeds from sale of PP&E and other assets	25	28
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(88)	—
Purchases of investments	(2,072)	(955)
Proceeds from sale of investments	1,747	896
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (839)	\$ (483)

Investments in property, plant and equipment enable growth in diverse markets, helping to meet product demand and increasing manufacturing efficiency. Capital expenditures were \$451 million in the first six months of 2006, similar to the first six months of 2005. 3M recently announced additional capital investments for optical films in Poland, respiratory protection products in Korea, and customer centers in China and Russia, among others. 3M has also approved capacity additions for medical supplies, Filtrete filters, Scotch blue painters tape and roofing granules. The Company expects capital expenditures to total approximately \$1.1 billion for total year 2006, compared with \$943 million in 2005.

Refer to Note 2 in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for information on 2006 acquisitions. The Company is actively considering additional acquisitions, investments and strategic alliances.

In the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, "Purchases of Investments" and "Proceeds from Sale of Investments" in the first six months of 2006 are primarily attributable to auction rate securities and asset-backed securities, which are classified as available-for-sale.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities:

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30	
	2006	2005
Change in short-term debt — net	\$ 489	\$ (580)
Repayment of debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	(148)	(480)
Proceeds from debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	—	69
Total change in debt	\$ 341	\$ (991)
Purchases of treasury stock	(778)	(1,185)
Reissuances of treasury stock	375	287
Dividends paid to stockholders	(695)	(647)
Distributions to minority interests and other — net	6	10
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (751)	\$ (2,526)

Total debt at June 30, 2006, was \$2.711 billion, up from \$2.381 billion at December 31, 2005, with the increase primarily due to commercial paper issuances. There were no new long-term debt issuances in the first six months of 2006. In the first six months of 2006, the increase in net short-term debt of \$489 million includes the portion of short-term debt with original maturities of 90 days or less, which primarily represents commercial paper activity. The repayment of debt for maturities greater than 90 days also primarily relates to commercial paper activity.

Repurchases of common stock are made to support the Company's stock-based employee compensation plans and for other corporate purposes. On February 13, 2006, the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of \$2.0 billion of the Company's common stock between February 13, 2006 and February 28, 2007. As of June 30, 2006, approximately \$1.3 billion remained available for purchase. Refer to the table titled "Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities" in Part II, Item 2, for more information.

In February 2006, the Board of Directors increased the quarterly dividend on 3M common stock by 9.5% to 46 cents per share, equivalent to an annual dividend of \$1.84 per share. This marked the 48th consecutive year of dividend

increases. Other cash flows from financing activities include distributions to minority interests, excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation, changes in cash overdraft balances, and principal payments for capital leases.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part I, Item 2, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company may also make forward-looking statements in other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in materials delivered to stockholders and in press releases. In addition, the Company's representatives may from time to time make oral forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements relate to future events and typically address the Company's expected future business and financial performance. Words such as "plan," "expect," "aim," "believe," "project," "target," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "will," "should," "could" and other words and terms of similar meaning, typically identify such forward-looking statements. In particular, these include statements about: (1) worldwide economic conditions; (2) competitive conditions and customer preferences; (3) foreign currency exchange rates and fluctuations in those rates; (4) the timing and acceptance of new product offerings; (5) the availability and cost of purchased components, compounds, raw materials and energy (including oil and natural gas and their derivatives) due to shortages, increased demand or supply interruptions (including those caused by natural and other disasters and other events); (6) the impact of acquisitions, strategic alliances, divestitures, and other unusual events resulting from portfolio management actions and other evolving business strategies, and possible organizational restructuring; (7) generating less productivity improvements than estimated; and (8) legal proceedings, including the outcome of pending Congressional action concerning asbestos-related litigation and other significant developments that could occur in the legal and regulatory proceedings. The Company assumes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are based on certain assumptions and expectations of future events and trends that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual future results and trends may differ materially from historical results or those reflected in any such forward-looking statements depending on a variety of factors. Discussion of these factors is incorporated by reference from Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors", of this document and from Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors", of our 2005 annual report on Form 10-K, and should be considered an integral part of Part I, Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations".

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

In the context of Item 3, market risk refers to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in financial and derivative instrument market rates and prices, such as fluctuations in interest rates and currency exchange rates. For a discussion of sensitivity analysis related to these types of market risks, refer to Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in 3M's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The Company believes that there have been no material changes in these market risks since year-end 2005.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

a. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

b. There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

3M COMPANY
FORM 10-Q
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2006
PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Discussion of legal matters is incorporated by reference from Part I, Item 1, Note 9, "Commitments and Contingencies", of this document, and should be considered an integral part of Part II, Item 1, "Legal Proceedings".

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The most significant risk factors applicable to the Company are described in Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" of 3M's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in 3M's report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**(e) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Repurchases of common stock are made to support the Company's stock-based employee compensation plans and for other corporate purposes. On November 8, 2004, the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of \$2 billion of the Company's common stock between January 1, 2005 and January 31, 2006. In October 2005, 3M's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of an additional \$300 million of the Company's common stock through January 31, 2006. This increased the total repurchase authorization to \$2.3 billion for the period between January 1, 2005 and January 31, 2006. On February 13, 2006, the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of \$2.0 billion of the Company's common stock between February 13, 2006 and February 28, 2007.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities (registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act)

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs (Millions)
	(1)			
January 1-31, 2006	140,646	\$ 75.33	30,000	\$ 2
February 1-28, 2006	754,242	\$ 73.46	665,100	\$ 1,951
March 1-31, 2006	1,819,405	\$ 73.75	1,771,700	\$ 1,821
Total January 1 – March 31, 2006	2,714,293	\$ 73.75	2,466,800	\$ 1,821
April 1 - 30, 2006	182,838	\$ 81.90	0	\$ 1,821
May 1 - 31, 2006	3,670,467	\$ 85.17	3,388,200	\$ 1,532
June 1 - 30, 2006	2,566,065	\$ 80.65	2,535,300	\$ 1,328
Total April 1 – June 30, 2006	6,419,370	\$ 83.27	5,923,500	\$ 1,328
Total January 1 – June 30, 2006	9,133,663	\$ 80.44	8,390,300	\$ 1,328

(1) The total number of shares purchased includes: (i) shares purchased under the Board's authorizations described above, and (ii) shares purchased in connection with the exercise of stock options (which combined totaled 110,646 shares in January 2006, 89,142 shares in February 2006, 47,705 shares in March 2006, 182,838 shares in April 2006, 282,267 shares in May 2006, 30,765 shares in June 2006).

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities. – No matters require disclosure.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

The stockholders of the Company voted on five items at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on May 9, 2006:

1. The election of directors
2. The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
3. The proposal to amend the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to authorize the annual election of directors
4. The stockholder proposal regarding executive compensation
5. The stockholder proposal regarding the Company's business operations in China

The nominees for directors were elected based upon the following votes:

Nominee	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Linda G. Alvarado	631,536,947	21,988,228

Edward M. Liddy	638,676,839	14,848,336
Robert S. Morrison	638,310,520	15,214,655
Aulana L. Peters	634,809,672	18,715,503

The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent accountants, to audit the consolidated financial statements of the company and its subsidiaries for the year 2006, received the following votes:

For	638,355,869
Against	6,473,616
Abstain	8,695,690
Broker Non-Vote	1,600

The proposal to amend the Certificate of Incorporation to authorize the annual election of directors received the following votes:

For	636,186,156
Against	8,097,889
Abstain	9,242,530
Broker Non-Vote	200

The Stockholder proposal regarding executive compensation received the following votes:

For	181,007,185
Against	350,501,564
Abstain	13,086,772
Broker Non-Vote	108,931,254

The Stockholder proposal regarding the animal welfare policy – WITHDRAWN

The Stockholder proposal regarding the business operations in China received the following votes:

For	34,565,622
Against	450,365,187
Abstain	59,665,375
Broker Non-Vote	108,930,591

Item 5. Other Information. – No matters require disclosure.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibits. These exhibits are either incorporated by reference into this report or filed with this report as indicated below. Exhibit numbers 10.1 through 10.22 are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

Index to Exhibits:

(3) Articles of Incorporation and bylaws

- (3.1) Certificate of incorporation, as amended as of May 15, 2006, is filed electronically herewith.
- (3.2) Bylaws, as amended as of November 11, 2002, are incorporated by reference from the Form 8-K dated December 9, 2002.

(4) Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures:

- (4.1) Indenture, dated as of November 21, 2000, between 3M and Citibank, N.A. with respect to 3M's senior debt securities, is incorporated by reference from the Form 8-K dated December 7, 2000.
- (4.2) Indenture, dated as of November 21, 2002, between 3M and Citibank, N.A. with respect to Liquid Yield Option™ Notes zero coupon senior debt securities, is incorporated by reference from Registration No. 333-103234 on Form S-3 filed on February 14, 2003.
- (4.3) First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 16, 2005, to Indenture between 3M and Citibank, N.A. with respect to Liquid Yield Option™ Notes zero coupon senior debt securities, is incorporated by reference from our 8-K dated November 17, 2005.
- (4.4) Except as set forth in the preceding Exhibits 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, the instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt securities of 3M have been omitted. We agree to furnish to the SEC, upon request, a copy of such instruments with respect to issuances of long-term debt of 3M.

(10) Material contracts and management compensation plans and arrangements:

- (10.1) 3M 2005 Management Stock Ownership Program is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement for the 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.
- (10.2) 3M 2002 Management Stock Ownership Program is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement for the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.
- (10.3) 3M 1997 Management Stock Ownership Program is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement for the 1997 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.
- (10.4) 3M 1992 Management Stock Ownership Program is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement for the 1992 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.
- (10.5) Form of award agreement for non-qualified stock options granted under the 2005 Management Stock Ownership Program, is incorporated by reference from our Form 8-K dated May 16, 2005.
- (10.6) Form of award agreement for non-qualified stock options granted under the 2002 Management Stock Ownership Program, is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (10.7) 3M 1997 General Employees' Stock Purchase Plan, as amended through November 8, 2004, is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (10.8) 3M VIP (Voluntary Investment Plan) Plus is incorporated by reference from Registration Statement No. 333-73192 on Form S-8, filed on November 13, 2001.
- (10.9) 3M Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended through November 2005, is incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on December 6, 2005.
- (10.10) 3M Executive Profit Sharing Plan, as amended through February 11, 2002, is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- (10.11) 3M Performance Unit Plan, as amended through February 10, 2003 is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

- (10.12) Description of changes to 3M Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors is incorporated by reference from our Form 8-K dated August 8, 2005.
- (10.13) 3M Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended, through November 8, 2004, is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (10.14) 3M 1992 Directors Stock Ownership Program, as amended through November 8, 2004, is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (10.15) 3M Executive Life Insurance Plan, as amended, is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- (10.16) Summary of Personal Financial Planning Services for 3M Executives is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- (10.17) Employment agreement dated as of December 6, 2005, between 3M and George W. Buckley is incorporated by reference from our Form 8-K dated December 9, 2005.

40

- (10.18) Description of compensation plan for Robert S. Morrison is incorporated by reference from our Form 8-K dated August 8, 2005.
- (10.19) Employment agreement dated as of December 4, 2000, between 3M and W. James McNeerney, Jr. is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (also reference Exhibit 10.20).
- (10.20) Letter agreement dated June 29, 2005 by and between 3M Company and W. James McNeerney, Jr. is incorporated by reference from our Form 8-K dated June 30, 2005.
- (10.21) Employment agreement dated as of January 23, 2002, between 3M and Patrick D. Campbell is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.
- (10.22) Employment agreement dated as of November 19, 2002, between 3M and Richard F. Ziegler is incorporated by reference from our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Filed electronically herewith:

- (3.1) Certificate of incorporation, as amended as of May 15, 2006
- (12) Calculation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges.
- (15) A letter from the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.
- (31.1) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- (31.2) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- (32.1) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- (32.2) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

41

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

3M COMPANY
(Registrant)

Date: August 3, 2006

By /s/ Patrick D. Campbell

Patrick D. Campbell,
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Mr. Campbell is the Principal Financial Officer and has been duly authorized to sign on behalf of the Registrant.)

42

CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION OF
3M COMPANY
(Original Certificate Filed on June 25, 1929)

FIRST: The name of the Corporation is 3M COMPANY.

SECOND: The address of its registered office in the State of Delaware is 1209 Orange Street, in the City of Wilmington, County of New Castle. The name of its registered agent at such address is The Corporation Trust Company.

THIRD: The nature of the business or purposes to be conducted or promoted is: to engage in any lawful act or activity for which Corporations may be organized under the General Corporation Law of Delaware.

FOURTH: A. The total number of shares of all classes of stock which this Corporation shall have authority to issue is 3,010,000,000 consisting of 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock without par value and 3,000,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share.

B. The designations, powers, preferences, and rights, and the qualifications, limitations, or restrictions of the preferred stock and the common stock of the Corporation are as follows:

1. The preferred stock may be issued from time to time as shares of one or more series in any amount, not exceeding in the aggregate, including all shares of any and all series previously issued, the total number of shares of preferred stock hereinabove authorized. All shares of any one series of preferred stock shall rank equally and be identical, except as to the times from which cumulative dividends, if any, thereon shall be cumulative.

2. The Board of Directors of the Corporation is hereby expressly authorized from time to time to issue preferred stock as preferred stock of any series, and in connection with the creation of each such series to fix by the resolution or resolutions, providing for the issue of shares thereof, the designations, preferences and relative, participating, optional, conditional, or other special rights, and qualifications, limitations, or restrictions thereof, of such series, to the full extent now or hereafter permitted by the laws of the State of Delaware, including, without limitation, the following matters:

- (a) The designation of such series;
- (b) The rate or amount and times at which, and the preferences and conditions under which, dividends shall be payable on shares of such series, the status of such dividends as cumulative or noncumulative, the date or dates from which dividends, if cumulative, shall accumulate, and the status of such series as participating or nonparticipating after the payment of dividends on shares which are entitled to any preference;
- (c) The voting rights, if any, of shares of such series in addition to those required by law, which may be full, limited, multiple, fractional, or none, including any right to vote as a class either generally or in connection with any specified matter or matters;
- (d) The amount, times, terms, and conditions, if any, upon which shares of such series shall be subject to redemption;
- (e) The rights and preferences, if any, of the holders of shares of such series in the event of any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Corporation;
- (f) Whether the shares of such series shall be entitled to the benefit of a sinking fund to be applied to the purchase or redemption of such series, and if so entitled, the amount of such fund and the manner of its application; and
- (g) Whether the shares of such series shall be made convertible into, or exchangeable for, shares of any other class or classes or of any other series of the same or any other class or classes of stock

of the Corporation, and if made so convertible or exchangeable, the conversion price or prices, or the rates of exchange, and the adjustments, if any, at which such conversion or exchange may be made.

C. Except for and subject to those rights expressly granted to the holders of preferred stock, or any series thereof, by the Board of Directors, pursuant to the authority hereby vested in the Board or as provided by the laws of the State of Delaware, the holders of the Corporation's common stock shall have exclusively all rights of shareholders and shall possess exclusively all voting power. Each holder of common stock of the Corporation shall be entitled to one vote for each share of such stock standing in such holder's name on the books of the Corporation.

FIFTH: The Corporation is to have perpetual existence.

SIXTH: In furtherance, and not in limitation of the powers conferred by statute, the Board of Directors is expressly authorized:

To make, alter, or repeal the Bylaws of the Corporation.

To authorize and cause to be executed mortgages and liens upon the real and personal property of the Corporation.

To set apart out of any funds of the Corporation available for dividends a reserve or reserves for any proper purpose and to abolish any such reserve in the manner in which it was created.

By a majority of the whole Board, to designate one or more committees, each committee to consist of one or more of the directors of the Corporation. The Board may designate one or more directors as alternate members of any committee, who may replace any absent or disqualified member at any meeting of the committee. The Bylaws may provide that in the absence or disqualification of a member of a committee, the member or members thereof present at any meeting and not disqualified from voting, whether the member or members constitute a quorum, may unanimously appoint another member of the Board of Directors to act at the meeting in the place of any such absent or disqualified member. Any such committee, to the extent provided in the resolution of the Board of Directors, or in the Bylaws of the Corporation, shall have and may exercise all the powers and authority of the Board of Directors in the management of the business and affairs of the Corporation, and may authorize the seal of the Corporation to be affixed to all papers which may require it; but no such committee shall have the power or authority in reference to amending the Certificate of Incorporation, adopting an agreement of merger or consolidation, recommending to the stockholders the sale, lease, or

exchange of all or substantially all of the Corporation's property and assets recommending to the stockholders a dissolution of the Corporation or a revocation of a dissolution, or amending the Bylaws of the Corporation; and, unless the resolution or Bylaws expressly so provide, no such committee shall have the power or authority to declare a dividend or to authorize the issuance of stock.

When and as authorized by the stockholders in accordance with statute, to sell, lease, or exchange all or substantially all of the property and assets of the Corporation, including its goodwill and its corporate franchises, upon such terms and conditions and for such consideration, which may consist in whole or in part of money or property, including shares of stock in, and/or other securities of, any other Corporation or Corporations, as its Board of Directors shall deem expedient and for the best interest of the Corporation.

SEVENTH: Meetings of stockholders may be held within or without the State of Delaware, as the Bylaws may provide. The books of the Corporation may be kept (subject to any provision contained in the statutes) outside the State of Delaware at such place or places as may be designated from time to time by the Board of Directors or in the Bylaws of the Corporation.

EIGHTH: This Corporation reserves the right to amend, alter, change, or repeal any provision contained in this Certificate of Incorporation, in the manner now or hereafter prescribed by statute, and all rights conferred upon stockholders herein are granted subject to this reservation.

NINTH: Whenever a compromise or arrangement is proposed between this Corporation and its creditors or any class of them and/or between this Corporation and its stockholders or any class of them, any court of equitable jurisdiction within the State of Delaware may, on the application in a summary way of this Corporation or of any creditor or stockholder thereof or on the application of any receiver or receivers appointed for this Corporation under the provisions of Section 291 of Title 8 of the Delaware Code or on the application of trustees in dissolution or of any receiver or receivers appointed for this Corporation under the provisions of Section 279 of Title 8 of the Delaware Code, order a meeting of the creditors or class of creditors, and/or of the stockholders or class of stockholders of this

Corporation, as the case may be, to be summoned in such manner as the said court directs. If the majority in number representing three-fourths in value of the creditors or class of creditors, and/or of the stockholders or class of stockholders of this Corporation, as the case may be, agree to any compromise or arrangement and to any reorganization of this Corporation as a consequence of such compromise or arrangement, the said compromise or arrangement and the said reorganization shall, if sanctioned by the court to which the said application has been made, be binding on all the creditors or class of creditors, and/or on all the stockholders or class of stockholders, of this Corporation as the case may be, and also on this Corporation.

TENTH: A. The number of directors of the Corporation shall be fixed from time to time by or pursuant to the Bylaws of the Corporation. At the 1986 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Corporation, the directors shall be divided, with respect to the terms for which they severally hold office, into three classes, as nearly equal in number of directors as possible, as determined by the Board of Directors, with the term of office of the first class to expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 1987, the term of office of the second class to expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 1988, and the term of office of the third class to expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 1989, with each class of directors to hold office until their successors are duly elected and have qualified. At each Annual Meeting of Stockholders following such initial classification and election until the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, directors elected to succeed those directors whose terms expire at such annual meeting, other than those directors elected under particular circumstances by a separate class vote of the holders of any class or series of stock having a preference over the common stock, of a par value of \$0.01 per share, of the Corporation (the "Common Stock") as to dividends or upon liquidation of the Corporation, shall be elected to hold office for a term expiring at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in the third year following the year of their election and until their successors are duly elected and have qualified. When the number of directors is changed, any newly created directorships or any decrease in directorships shall be so apportioned among the classes as to make all classes as nearly equal in number of directors as possible, as determined by the Board of Directors. The terms of office of all directors who are in office immediately prior to the closing of the polls for the election of directors at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Corporation shall expire at such time. At each Annual Meeting of Stockholders beginning with the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Corporation, the directors shall not be classified, and the directors shall be elected annually and shall hold office for a term expiring at the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors shall have been duly elected and qualified. No decrease in the number of directors constituting the Board of Directors shall shorten the term of any incumbent director. The provisions of this Paragraph are subject to the provisions of Paragraph D of this Article.

B. Except as may be provided in the terms of any class or series of stock having a preference over the Common Stock as to dividends or upon liquidation of the Corporation relating to the rights of the holders of such class or series to elect, by separate class vote, additional directors, prior to the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Corporation, no member of the Board of Directors may be removed from office except for cause. Except as may be provided in the terms of any class or series of stock having a preference over the Common Stock as to dividends or upon liquidation of the Corporation relating to the rights of the holders of such class or series to elect, by separate class vote, additional directors, from and after the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Corporation, any member of the Board of Directors may be removed from office with or without cause.

C. Subject to the provisions of Paragraph D of this Article TENTH, newly created directorships resulting from an increase in the number of directors of the Corporation and vacancies occurring in the Board of Directors resulting from death, resignation, retirement, removal, or any other reason shall be filled by the affirmative vote of a majority of the directors, although less than a quorum, then remaining in office and elected by the holders of the capital stock of the Corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors or, in the event that there is only one such director, by such sole remaining director. Prior to the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Corporation, any director elected in accordance with the preceding sentence shall hold office for the full term of the class of directors in which the new directorship was created or the vacancy occurred and until such director's successor shall have been elected and qualified.

D. In the event that the holders of any class or series of stock of the Corporation having a preference over the Common Stock as to dividends or upon liquidation of the Corporation are entitled, by a separate class vote, to elect directors pursuant to the terms of such class or series, then the provisions of such class or series with respect to such rights of election shall apply to the election of such directors. The number of directors that may be elected by the holders of any such class or series of stock shall be in addition to the number fixed by or pursuant to the Bylaws. Except as otherwise expressly provided in the terms of such class or series, the number of directors that may be so elected by the holders of any such class or series of stock shall be elected for terms expiring at the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and without regard to any classification of the remaining members of the Board of Directors, and vacancies among directors so elected by the separate class vote of any such class or series of stock shall be filled by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors elected by such class or series, or, if there are no

such remaining directors, by the holders of such class or series in the same manner in which such class or series initially elected a director.

If at any meeting for the election of directors, more than one class of stock, voting separately as classes, shall be entitled to elect one or more directors and there shall be a quorum of only one such class of stock, that class of stock shall be entitled to elect its quota of directors notwithstanding absence of a quorum of the other class or classes of stock.

ELEVENTH: Subject to any limitations imposed by this Certificate of Incorporation, the Board of Directors shall have power to adopt, amend, or repeal the Bylaws of the Corporation. Any Bylaws made by the directors under the powers conferred hereby may be amended or repealed by the directors or by the stockholders. Notwithstanding the foregoing and any other provisions of this Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws of this Corporation (and notwithstanding that a lesser percentage may be specified by law), no provisions of the Bylaws shall be adopted, amended, or repealed by the stockholders without an affirmative vote of the holders of not less than eighty percent (80%) of the voting power of all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of the Corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, considered for the purposes of this Article as a single class.

Notwithstanding the foregoing and any other provisions of this Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the Corporation (and notwithstanding that a lesser percentage may be specified by law), the provisions of this Article ELEVENTH may not be amended or repealed unless such action is approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than eighty percent (80%) of the voting power of all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of the Corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, considered for purposes of this Article as a single class.

TWELFTH: No action required to be taken or which may be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders of the Corporation may be taken without a meeting, and the power of stockholders to consent in writing, without a meeting, to the taking of any action is specifically denied.

THIRTEENTH: A. In addition to the requirements of the provisions of any series of preferred stock which may be outstanding, and whether or not a vote of the stockholders is otherwise required, the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than eighty percent (80%) of the outstanding shares of the Common Stock shall be required for the approval or authorization of any Business Transaction with a Related Person, or any Business Transaction in which a Related Person has an interest (other than only a proportionate interest as a stockholder of the Corporation); provided, however, that the eighty percent (80%) voting requirement shall not be applicable if (1) the Business Transaction is Duly Approved by the Continuing Directors, or (2) all of the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) the Business Transaction is a merger or consolidation or sale of substantially all of the assets of the Corporation, and the aggregate amount of cash to be received per share (on the date of effectiveness of such merger or consolidation or on the date of distribution to stockholders of the Corporation of the proceeds from such sale of assets) by holders of Common Stock of the Corporation (other than such Related Person) in connection with such Business Transaction is at least equal in value to such Related Person's Highest Common Stock Purchase Price; and
- (b) after such Related Person has become the Beneficial Owner of not less than ten percent (10%) of the voting power of the Voting Stock and prior to the consummation of such Business Transaction, such Related Person shall not have become the Beneficial Owner of any additional shares of Voting Stock or securities convertible into Voting Stock, except (i) as a part of the transaction which resulted in such Related Person becoming the Beneficial Owner of not less than ten percent (10%) of the voting power of the Voting Stock or (ii) as a result of a pro rata stock dividend or stock split; and
- (c) prior to the consummation of such Business Transaction, such Related Person shall not have, directly or indirectly, (i) received the benefit (other than only a proportionate benefit as a stockholder of the Corporation) of any loans, advances, guarantees, pledges, or other financial assistance or tax credits provided by the Corporation or any of its subsidiaries, (ii) caused any material change in the Corporation's business or equity capital structure, including, without limitation, the issuance of shares of capital stock of the Corporation, or (iii) except as Duly Approved by the Continuing Directors, caused the Corporation to fail to declare and pay (y) at the regular date therefor any full quarterly dividends on any outstanding preferred stock or (z) quarterly cash dividends on the outstanding Common Stock on a per share basis at least equal to the cash dividends being paid thereon by the Corporation immediately prior to the date on which the Related Person became a Related Person.

B. For the purpose of this Article THIRTEENTH:

1. The term "Business Transaction" shall mean (a) any merger or consolidation involving the Corporation or a subsidiary of the Corporation, (b) any sale, lease, exchange, transfer, or other disposition (in one transaction or a series of related transactions), including, without limitation, a mortgage or any other security device, of all or any Substantial Part of the assets either of the Corporation or of a subsidiary of the Corporation, (c) any sale, lease, exchange, transfer, or other disposition (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all or any Substantial Part of the assets of an entity to the Corporation or a subsidiary of the Corporation, (d) the issuance, sale, exchange, transfer, or other disposition (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) by the Corporation or a subsidiary of the Corporation of any securities of the Corporation or any subsidiary of the Corporation, (e) any recapitalization or reclassification of the securities of the Corporation (including, without limitation, any reverse stock split) or other transaction that would have the effect of increasing the voting power of a Related Person or reducing the number of shares of each class of Voting Stock outstanding, (f) any liquidation, spin-off, split-off, split-up, or dissolution of the Corporation, and (g) any agreement, contract, or other arrangement providing for any of the transactions described in this definition of Business Transaction.

2. The term "Related Person" shall mean and include (a) any individual, corporation, partnership, group, association, or other person or entity which, together with its Affiliates and Associates, is the Beneficial Owner of not less than ten percent (10%) of the voting power of the Voting Stock or was the Beneficial Owner of not less than ten percent (10%) of the voting power of the Voting Stock (i) at the time the definitive agreement providing for the Business Transaction (including any amendment thereof) was entered into, (ii) at the time a resolution approving the Business Transaction was adopted by the Board of Directors of the Corporation, or (iii) as of the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote on, or consent to, the Business Transaction, and (b) any Affiliate or Associate of any such individual, corporation, partnership, group, association, or other person or entity; provided, however, and notwithstanding anything in the foregoing to the contrary, the term "Related Person" shall not include the Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Corporation, any employee stock ownership or other employee benefit plan of the Corporation or any wholly owned subsidiary of the Corporation, or any trustee of, or fiduciary with respect to, any such plan when acting in such capacity.

3. The term "Beneficial Owner" shall be defined by reference to Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as in effect on May 13, 1986; provided, however, that any individual, corporation, partnership, group, association, or other person or entity which has the right to acquire any Voting Stock at any time in the future, whether such right is contingent or absolute, pursuant to any agreement, arrangement, or understanding or upon exercise of conversion rights, warrants or options, or otherwise, shall be deemed the Beneficial Owner of such Voting Stock.

4. The term "Highest Common Stock Purchase Price" shall mean the highest amount of consideration paid by such Related Person for a share of Common Stock of the Corporation (including any brokerage commissions, transfer taxes, and soliciting dealers' fees) in the transaction which resulted in such Related Person becoming a Related Person or within one year prior to the date such Related Person became a Related Person, whichever is higher; provided, however, that the Highest Common Stock Purchase Price shall be appropriately adjusted to reflect the occurrence of any reclassification, recapitalization, stock split, reverse stock split, or other similar corporate readjustment in the number of outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Corporation between the last date upon which such Related Person paid the Highest Common Stock Purchase Price to the effective date of the merger or consolidation or the date of distribution to stockholders of the Corporation of the proceeds from the sale of substantially all of the assets of the Corporation referred to in subparagraph (2)(a) of Section A. of this Article THIRTEENTH.

5. The term "Substantial Part" shall mean more than five percent (5%) of the fair market value of the total assets of the entity in question, as reflected on the most recent consolidated balance sheet of such entity existing at the time the stockholders of the Corporation would be required to approve or authorize the Business Transaction involving the assets constituting any such Substantial Part.

6. The term "Voting Stock" shall mean all outstanding shares of capital stock of the Corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors,

considered for the purpose of this Article THIRTEENTH as one class.

7. The term "Continuing Director" shall mean a director who either was a member of the Board of Directors of the Corporation on May 13, 1986 or who became a director of the Corporation subsequent to such date and whose election, or nomination for election by the Corporation's stockholders, was Duly Approved by the Continuing Directors on the Board at the time of such nomination or election, either by a specific vote or by approval of the proxy statement issued by the Corporation on behalf of the Board of Directors in which such person is named as

5

nominee for director, without due objection to such nomination; provided, however, that in no event shall a director be considered a "Continuing Director" if such director is a Related Person and the Business Transaction to be voted upon is with such Related Person or is one in which such Related Person has an interest (other than only a proportionate interest as a stockholder of the Corporation).

8. The term "Duly Approved by the Continuing Directors" shall mean an action approved by the vote of at least a majority of the Continuing Directors then on the Board, except, if the votes of such Continuing Directors in favor of such action would be insufficient to constitute an act of the Board of Directors if a vote by all of its members were to have been taken, then such term shall mean an action approved by the unanimous vote of the Continuing Directors then on the Board so long as there are at least three Continuing Directors on the Board at the time of such unanimous vote.

9. The term "Affiliate," used to indicate a relationship to a specified person, shall mean a person that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, such specified person.

10. The term "Associate," used to indicate a relationship with a specified person, shall mean (a) any corporation, partnership, or other organization of which such specified person is an officer or partner, (b) any trust or other estate in which such specified person has a substantial beneficial interest or as to which such specified person serves as trustee or in a similar fiduciary capacity, (c) any relative or spouse of such specified person, or any relative of such spouse who has the same home as such specified person, or who is a director or officer of the Corporation or any of its parents or subsidiaries, and (d) any person who is a director, officer, or partner of such specified person or of any corporation (other than the Corporation or any wholly owned subsidiary of the Corporation), partnership or other entity which is an Affiliate of such specified person.

C. For the purpose of this Article THIRTEENTH, so long as Continuing Directors constitute at least a majority of the entire Board of Directors, the Board of Directors shall have the power to make a good faith determination, on the basis of information known to them, of: (1) the number of shares of Voting Stock of which any person is the Beneficial Owner, (2) whether a person is a Related Person or is an Affiliate or Associate of another, (3) whether a person has an agreement, arrangement, or understanding with another as to the matters referred to in the definition of Beneficial Owner herein, (4) whether the assets subject to any Business Transaction constitute a Substantial Part, (5) whether any Business Transaction is with a Related Person or is one in which a Related Person has an interest (other than only a proportionate interest as a stockholder of the Corporation), (6) whether a Related Person, has, directly or indirectly, received any benefits or caused any of the changes or caused the Corporation to fail to declare and pay any of the dividends referred to in subparagraph (2) (c) of Section A. of this Article THIRTEENTH, and (7) such other matters with respect to which a determination is required under this Article THIRTEENTH; and such determination by the Board of Directors shall be conclusive and binding for all purposes of this Article THIRTEENTH.

D. Nothing contained in this Article THIRTEENTH shall be construed to relieve any Related Person of any fiduciary obligation imposed by law.

E. The fact that any Business Transaction complies with the provisions of Section A. of this Article THIRTEENTH shall not be construed to impose any fiduciary duty, obligation, or responsibility on the Board of Directors, or any member thereof, to approve such Business Transaction or recommend its adoption or approval to the stockholders of the Corporation.

F. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the Corporation (and notwithstanding that a lesser percentage may be specified by law), the provisions of this Article THIRTEENTH may not be repealed or amended in any respect, unless such action is approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than eighty percent (80%) of the outstanding shares of the Common Stock.

FOURTEENTH: The liability of the Corporation's Directors to the Corporation or its stockholders shall be eliminated to the fullest extent permitted by the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, as the same exists or may be amended from time to time. Any repeal or amendment of this Article FOURTEENTH by the stockholders of the Corporation shall not adversely affect any right or protection of a director existing at the time of such repeal or amendment.

6

**3M COMPANY
AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**CALCULATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES
(Millions)**

	Six months ended June 30, 2006	Year 2005	Year 2004	Year 2003	Year 2002	Year 2001
EARNINGS						
Income before income taxes, minority interest, and cumulative effect of accounting change*	\$ 2,519	\$ 4,828	\$ 4,303	\$ 3,448	\$ 2,775	\$ 1,988
Add:						
Interest expense	55	101	88	103	100	143
Interest component of the ESOP benefit expense	4	10	12	14	16	18
Portion of rent under operating leases representative of the interest component	34	64	60	53	45	39
Less:						
Equity in undistributed income of 20-50% owned companies	2	4	6	7	10	5
TOTAL EARNINGS AVAILABLE FOR FIXED CHARGES	\$ 2,610	\$ 4,999	\$ 4,457	\$ 3,611	\$ 2,926	\$ 2,183
FIXED CHARGES						
Interest on debt	53	94	78	93	100	150
Interest component of the ESOP benefit expense	4	10	12	14	16	18
Portion of rent under operating leases representative of the interest component	34	64	60	53	45	39
TOTAL FIXED CHARGES	\$ 91	\$ 168	\$ 150	\$ 160	\$ 161	\$ 207
RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES	28.7	29.8	29.7	22.6	18.2	10.5

*As discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, effective January 1, 2006, 3M adopted SFAS No. 123R. Since 3M elected to use the modified retrospective method prior periods have been restated, resulting in a reduction in earnings and in the ratio of earnings to fixed charges. 2006 includes net pre-tax losses which combined totaled \$49 million for settlement costs of a previously disclosed antitrust class action and costs related to the Company's current efforts to seek strategic alternatives for its branded pharmaceuticals business. 2003 includes a \$93 million pre-tax loss related to an adverse ruling associated with a lawsuit filed by LePage's Inc. 2002 and 2001 include net pre-tax losses of \$202 million and \$504 million, respectively, primarily related to the 2001/2002 corporate restructuring program.

Securities and Exchange Commission
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20549

Commissioners:

We are aware that our report dated August 1, 2006, on our reviews of interim consolidated financial information of 3M Company and its Subsidiaries (the Company) for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and included in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, is incorporated by reference in the Company's registration statements on Form S-8 (Registration Nos. 33-14791, 33-49842, 33-58767, 333-26957, 333-30689, 333-30691, 333-44760, 333-44692, 333 73192, 333-101727, 333-101751, 333-109282, 333-128251 and 333-130150), and Form S-3 (Registration Nos. 33-48089, 333-42660, 333-98163, 333-109211, 333-112563 and 333-132041).

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota
August 3, 2006

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, George W. Buckley, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of 3M Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ George W. Buckley

George W. Buckley
Chief Executive Officer

August 3, 2006

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, Patrick D. Campbell, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of 3M Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Patrick D. Campbell

Patrick D. Campbell
Chief Financial Officer

August 3, 2006

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

In connection with the Quarterly Report of 3M Company (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, George W. Buckley, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ George W. Buckley

George W. Buckley
Chief Executive Officer

August 3, 2006

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

In connection with the Quarterly Report of 3M Company (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Patrick D. Campbell, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Patrick D. Campbell

Patrick D. Campbell
Chief Financial Officer

August 3, 2006
